# Long-Term Phosphorus and Potassium Strategies in Irrigated Rice

By C. Witt, A. Dobermann, R. Buresh, S. Abdulrachman, H.C. Gines, R. Nagarajan, S. Ramanathan, P.S. Tan, and G.H. Wang

The site-specific nutrient management (SSNM) approach for rice provides sufficiently robust estimates of long-term fertilizer phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) requirements to avoid nutrient depletion. Additional information from long-term experiments may be required to finetune fertilizer P and K recommendations developed on-farm.



In this rice plot in the Philippines, severe K deficiency symptoms were observed where only N and P were applied.

Anagement of soil P and K is receiving greater attention in intensive, irrigated lowland rice systems of Asia because of concerns that fertilizer P and K rates are not optimally adjusted to long-term needs. Breeding offers limited opportunities to change the plant nutrient requirements or uptake efficiencies so that long-term management strategies must focus on overcoming immediate nutrient deficiencies and maintaining adequate nutrient balances in the topsoil layer. Current fertilizer P and K strategies in Asia are still mostly based on soil tests that have shown little correlation with the effective nutrient supply to the irrigated rice crop (Dobermann et al., 2003).

SSNM allows effective management of indigenous P and K supplies by estimating fertilizer requirements based on yield level, yield response to fertilizer P and K application, and a nutrient balance model (Fairhurst and Witt, 2002; Witt et al., 2002). In that approach, fertilizer P and K maintenance rates are commonly developed based on only 2 seasons of on-farm experiments, which may be insufficient to develop long-term strategies. In this paper, we evaluate SSNM strategies to prevent soil nutrient depletion using data from five long-term experiments established between 1968 and 1995 in China, India, Indonesia, the Philippines, and

7	7	٠						
۱	/	ъ	0	tr	1 9	m	1	
١		1	c.	ιı	ιa	.11	1.	

In the SSNM approach, fertilizer P and K rates are based on the yield difference between treatments with full fertilizer use of N, P, and K (NPK) and omission plots that receive all nutrients except for the omitted (0P, 0K). Recommended fertilizer rates are provided in simple charts (see example in **Table 1**). The NPK, 0P, and 0K treatments were also included in five long-term experiments with two rice crops per year, and the accumulated yield difference between NPK and omission plots for a period of up to 15 seasons are plotted in **Figure 1**. There were substantial differences in short- and longterm yield responses to fertilizer P and K

Table 1. Maintenance fertilizer P2O5 rates (kg/ha)depending on yield in OP plots and yieldgoal (adapted from Fairhurst and Witt,2002).								
Yield in O-P	Yield in 0-PYield goal, t/ha							
plots, t/ha	4	5	6	7	8			
3	20	40	60	*	*			
4	15	25	40	60	*			
5	0	20	30	40	60			
6	0	0	25	35	45			
7	0	0	0	30	40			
8	0	0	0	0	35			
*A lower yield goal is recommended when the required								

application among sites. Yields were not affected by K application in India or P application in China in the first 2 seasons, and initial yield responses to fertilizer application were generally small except for Vietnam (P) and China (K). However, yield responses developed within a



few seasons at all sites except for K application at Omon in the Mekong River Delta of Vietnam, where annual flooding supplies a large K load through sedimentation. Yield responses developed linearly except for China, where yield responses to P but not K application developed exponentially within 8 seasons or 4 years. Long-term fertilizer requirements would generally be underestimated, if fertilizer requirements were based only on short-term yield responses without considering nutrient removal with grain and straw and the overall input-output balance.

In the absence of a yield response to fertilizer P and K application, SSNM recommends fertilizer P and K maintenance rates that are calculated based on a nutrient input-output model (Witt and Dobermann, 2004). Higher maintenance rates are suggested for higher yield levels because of a greater nutrient removal with grain and straw after harvest (see example in Table 1). Since initial yield responses appear to be generally small based on the presented data from long-term experiments, fertilizer requirements with SSNM may, to a larger extent, follow maintenance strategies. At issue is whether SSNM recommendations would need to be adjusted, if yield responses to fertilizer P and K application were evaluated for longer periods. Table 2 shows fertilizer requirements calculated with SSNM based on data from 2 and 8 cropping seasons in the long-term experiments. Note that the actual fertilizer P and K rates were several times higher than the recommended rates with SSNM. High fertilizer P and K rates in long-term experiments are usually chosen to ensure that these nutrients are not limiting.

Considering 2 seasons of data, recommended fertilizer rates ranged from 21 to 32 kg  $P_2O_3$ /ha and from 20 to 48 kg  $K_2O$ /ha. Suggested rates were highest where both yield and yield responses to fertilizer application were high (Philippines, India, China). Fertilizer rates changed at some, but not all, sites when 8 instead of 2 seasons of data were considered in the calculation of fertilizer rates. Fertilizer rates needed little adjustment at sites where yield responses developed steadily and yields in NPK treatments remained more or less constant (e.g., Philippines). Adjustments in fertilizer rates were needed where yield levels in the first

Figure 1. Cumulative grain yield increase in fully fertilized plots (NPK) over plots without fertilizer P (OP) and K (OK) application in longterm experiments at five sites in Asia, 1995-2002. PhilRice = Philippine Rice Research Institute. Muñoz, Philippines; CLRRI = Cuu Long Rice Research Institute. Omon, Vietnam; IIRR = Indonesian Institute for Rice Research, Sukamandi, Indonesia; TNRRI = Tamil Nadu **Rice Research Institute** (TNRRI), Tamil Nadu, India; ARS = Agricultural Research Station, Zhejiang, China.

Table 2. Average fertilizer rates and fertilizer requirements with SSNM (Fairhurst<br/>and Witt, 2002) based on the average yield increase (response) in N, P, and<br/>K fertilized plots (NPK) over plots without fertilizer P (0P) and K (0K)<br/>application during 2 and 8 cropping seasons in five long-term experiments<br/>in Asia.

		Sites				
Philippines (PhilRice)	Vietnam (CLRRI)	Indonesia (IIRR)	India (TNRRI)	China (ARS)		
	Fertilizer rates					
1968	1995	1995	1995	1997		
a 60	57	57	57	57		
a 60	90	120	120	120		
Short-term fertilizer requireme						
2	2	2	2	2		
6.3	5.0	5.2	7.0	6.0		
0.5	0.8	0.1	0.5	0.0		
0.2	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.7		
a 28	23	21	32	24		
a 40	20	28	48	44		
	Long-term fertilizer requirement					
8	8	8	8	8		
6.0	4.7	6.0	6.3	5.6		
0.7	1.5	0.2	0.5	1.5		
0.4	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.8		
27	30	25	28	29		
38	13	37	45	38		
	Philippines (PhilRice)	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Philippines & Vietnam (CLRRI) \\ \hline (PhilRice) & (CLRRI) \\ \hline (Display (CLRRI) & (CLRRI) \\ \hline (Display (CLRRI) & (CLRRI) & (CLRRI) \\ \hline (Display (CLRRI) & (CLRRI) & (CLRRI) & (CLRRI) \\ \hline (Display (CLRRI) & $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Sites \\ \hline Sites & Sites & India \\ \hline Philippines & Vietnam & Indonesia & India \\ (PhilRice) & (CLRRI) & (IIRR) & (TNRRI) \\ \hline & (TNRRI) & (TNRRI) & (TNRRI) \\ \hline & Fertilizer rates & Sites & $		



**Figure 2.** Fertilizer  $P_2O_5$  and K<sub>2</sub>O requirements with SSNM (Fairhurst and Witt, 2002) based on 2 and 8 seasons of data in long-term experiments at five sites in Asia, 1968-2002.

year were not representative for a longer time period, like in Indonesia, where yields increased with time. Adjustments were also needed where average vield responses to fertilizer application were either lacking or very strong over the 4-year period. A lacking yield response over a long period indicates a strong soil nutrient supplying power, while a strong yield response developed within a few seasons is a sign of a low soil buffering capacity to nutrient depletion. For example, 4 years of data from Omon revealed greater P, but lower K requirements

compared to the rates calculated after one year. Despite these adjustments, the initial estimates of fertilizer requirements showed a good congruence with the fertilizer rates that were based on several seasons of data (**Figure 2**).

We therefore conclude that the SSNM approach would not have to be modified and that 2 seasons of data provide a first, sufficiently robust estimate of fertilizer P and K requirements. However, omission plots embedded in farmers' fields should be continued for several seasons at the same location, if data from long-term experiments are not available to identify the response pattern that develops over time and fine-tune SSNM recommendations.

In the last 4 years, the SSNM approach was disseminated with the involvement of more than 1,000 farmers in extension-led demonstration trials in Asia. The SSNM strategies were also incorporated into national initiatives in

#### Bangladesh, India, Indonesia, Myanmar, and Vietnam. BC

Dr. Witt is Director, PPI/PPIC-IPI Southeast Asia Program, Singapore; e-mail: cwitt@ppi-ppic-ipi.org. Dr. Dobermann is Professor at the University of Nebraska. Dr. Buresh is Soil Scientist at the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Los Baños, Philippines. Dr. Abdulrachman is Agronomist at the Research Institute for Rice, Sukamandi, Indonesia. Mr. Gines is Agronomist at the Philippine Rice Research Institute, Maligaya, Philippines. Dr. Nagarajan is a Soil Scientist at the Soil and Water Management Research Institute, Tamil Nadu, India. Dr. Ramanathan is Director of Research, Tamil Nadu Rice Research Institute, Coimbatore, India. Dr. Tan is Agronomist at the Cuu Long Delta Rice Research Institute, Omon, Vietnam. Prof. Wang is Soil Scientist at the Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, P.R. China.

### Acknowledgment

This research was supported by IRRI, the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC), the International Fertilizer Industry Association (IFA), PPI/PPIC, and the International Potash Institute (IPI).

#### References

Dobermann, A., C. Witt, S. Abdulrachman, H.C. Gines, R. Nagarajan, T.T. Son, P.S. Tan, G.H. Wang, N.V. Chien, V.T.K. Thoa, C.V. Phung, P. Stalin, P. Muthukrishnan, V. Ravi, M. Babu, G.C. Simbahan, M.A. Adviento, V. Bartolome. 2003. Agron.J. 95:924-935.

Fairhurst, T. and C. Witt. (ed.) 2002. Rice: A practical guide to nutrient management. Singapore and Makati City: Potash & Phosphate Institute, Potash & Phosphate Institute of Canada (PPI/PPIC) and International Rice Research Institute (IRRI). p 1-89.

Witt, C., R.J. Buresh, V. Balasubramanian, D. Dawe, A. Dobermann. 2002. Better Crops Int. 16-2:10-17.

Witt C. and A. Dobermann. 2004. In Dobermann, A., C. Witt, D. Dawe, editors. Increasing productivity of intensive rice systems through site-specific nutrient management. Enfield, NH (USA) and Los Baños (Philippines): Science Publishers, Inc., and International Rice Research Institute (IRRI). p. 1-420.

## Garlic...continued from page 31

The highest shoot and clove yields were obtained with 300-90-300 kg/ha at Shuikou. The two sites at Xin'an had much higher yield potential and achieved much higher relative yields under all treatments. Both sites at Xin'an suggest a potential for even higher yields given the good performance of the highest N/highest K combination, which produced very large yields and profits.

The economics of garlic production were greatly improved with addition of 150 kg  $K_2$ O/ha, at both N rates. However, the data clearly show that 300 kg  $K_2$ O/ha applied with N at rates of 300 to 375 kg/ha, regardless of site, provide the best return to farmers.

The effects of widespread adoption of balanced fertilization in garlic production systems in Southeast China could have immense economic impact. Results point to consistently large and profitable responses to rational NPK rates and no doubt enhance this production system in the eyes of farmers searching for viable cash cropping options.

The authors are with the Soil and Fertilizer Institute, Anhui Academy of Agricultural Science, Hefei 230031 China. E-mail: lilujiu@yahoo.com.cn.