# BETTER CROPS

1995 Number 4

Now Including International Topics

Charles





ADSPHARE





IN THIS ISSUE

Potassium Management







#### Vol. LXXIX (79) 1995, No. 4

Our Cover: Potato field, plants and bloom. Photos: Dr. T.A. Tindall Design: Design RT

Editor: Donald L. Armstrong Assistant Editor: Kathy A. Hefner Editorial Assistant: Katherine P. Griffin Circulation Manager: Carol Mees

#### Potash & Phosphate Institute (PPI)

- J.U. Huber, Chairman of the Board Kalium Chemicals
- C.O. Dunn, Vice Chairman of the Board Mississippi Chemical Corporation

#### HEADQUARTERS: NORCROSS, GEORGIA, U.S.A.

- D.W. Dibb, President B.C. Darst, Executive Vice President
- R.T. Roberts, Vice President C.V. Holcomb, Asst. Treasurer
- S.O. Fox, Executive Secretary
- W.R. Agerton, Communications Specialist
- S.K. Rogers, Statistics/Accounting

MANHATTAN, KANSAS

L.S. Murphy, Senior Vice President North American Programs

#### **REGIONAL DIRECTORS-North America**

- T.W. Bruulsema, Guelph, Ontario

- F.W. bruusema, Guepp, Ontario P.E. Fixen, Brookings, South Dakota A.E. Ludwick, Mill Valley, California H.F. Reetz, Jr., Monticello, Illinois T.L. Roberts, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan C.S. Snyder, Conway, Arkansas
- N.R. Usherwood, Norcross, Georgia

#### INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS

Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada M.D. Stauffer, Senior Vice President, International Programs (PPI), and President, Potash & Phosphate Institute of Canada (PPIC) J. Gautier, Dir., Admin. Serv.

#### INTERNATIONAL PROGRAM LOCATIONS

Brazil-POTAFOS T. Yamada, Piracicaba China S.S. Portch, Hong Kong J. Wang, Hong Kong Jin Ji-yun, Beijing Wu Ronggui, Beijing India G. Dev, Dundahera, Gurgaon Latin America J. Espinosa, Quito, Ecuador Mexico I. Lazcano-Ferrat, Querétaro Southeast Asia E. Mutert, Singapore

#### BETTER CROPS WITH PLANT FOOD

(ISSN:0006-0089) is published quarterly by the Potash & Phosphate Institute (PPI). Second-Class postage paid at Norross, GA, and at additional mail-ing offices (USPS 012-713). Subscription free on request to qualified individuals; others \$8.00 per year or \$2.00 per issue. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to Better Crops with Plant Food, 655 Engineering Changes to better Crops with Plant rood, 035 Engineerin Drive, Suite 110, Norcross, GA 30092-2843. Phone (770) 447-0335; fax (770) 448-0439. Copyright 1995 by Potash & Phosphate Institute

#### C 0 N T E S N T

| John U. Huber Elected Chairman,<br>Charles O. Dunn Vice Chairman of PPI<br>and FAR Boards of Directors                              | 3  |
|---|----|
| Robert E. Wagner Award<br>Recipients Announced  | 4  |
| Information Agriculture Conference<br>Planned for July 30-August 1, 1996  | 5  |
| Research Tracks Potassium Dissipation<br>Patterns in Rice Production (Texas)<br>Garry N. McCauley                                   | 6  |
| Potatoes and Potassium for Irrigated<br>Southern Idaho Soils (Idaho)<br>Terry A. Tindall and Dale T. Westermann                     | 8  |
| North Central Soil Fertility Conference<br>Proceedings Available  | 11 |
| Potassium Deficiency and Plant Diseases<br>Observed in Rice Fields (Arkansas)<br>N.A. Slaton, R.D. Cartwright and C.E. Wilson, Jr.  | 12 |
| J. Fielding Reed PPI Fellowships<br>A Heritage of Higher Learning   | 15 |
| Annual Statement of Ownership   | 15 |
| Adequate Soil Potassium Increases<br>Nitrogen Use Efficiency by Corn (Ohio)<br>J.W. Johnson and H.F. Reetz                          | 16 |
| Foliar Applied Potassium Benefits Cotton<br>in the San Joaquin Valley (California)<br>Bill L. Weir, Robert Miller and Bruce Roberts | 18 |
| Dr. N.R. Usherwood Receives SSSA<br>Professional Service Award  | 21 |
| Dr. James D. Beaton Elected Honorary<br>Member of CFI   | 21 |
| Potassium Nutrition of Processing<br>Tomato (California)<br>T.K. Hartz  | 22 |
| Potassium Responses in Northern Forages<br>(Northeastern U.S./Eastern Canada)<br>Thomas W. Bruulsema and Jerry H. Cherney           | 24 |
| Conversion Factors for<br>Metric and U.S. Units   | 26 |
| Potassium Improves Yield and Quality<br>of Mulberry Leaves (China)<br>Fu Jianrong, Zhan Changgeng,<br>Jiang Lina and Wu Zheng       | 27 |
| International Soil Fertility Manual<br>Now Available  | 29 |
| Nitrogen and Potassium Fertilization<br>on Sugarcane (Brazil)<br>J. Orlando Filho and A.A. Rodella                                  | 30 |
| INPOFOS Ecuador Office Moves<br>to New Location in Quito  | 31 |
| Positive Potassium<br>J. Fielding Reed  | 32 |
|   |    |

Members: Agrium Inc. • Cargill, Incorporated • CF Industries, Inc. • Farmland Hydro, Inc. • Great Salt Lake Minerals Corporation • IMC Global Inc. Kalium Chemicals • Mississippi Chemical Corporation • Mobil Mining and Minerals Company • New Mexico Potash • Potash Company of Canada Limited Potash Corporation of Saskatchewan Inc. • Western Ag-Minerals Company

# John U. Huber Elected Chairman, Charles O. Dunn Vice Chairman of PPI and FAR Boards of Directors

ohn U. Huber, Executive Vice President of The Vigoro Corporation

Kalium Chemicals, Ltd., and Phoenix Chemical Company, was elected Chairman of the PPI Board of Directors at a recent meeting. He will also serve as Chairman of the Foundation for Agronomic Research (FAR), Charles O, (Chuck) Dunn, President and CEO, Mississippi Chemical Corporation (MCC) is the new Vice Chairman of the PPI and FAR Boards.

"We sincerely welcome

these respected industry leaders to their key roles with the PPI and FAR Boards," said Dr. David W. Dibb, President of PPI.

Throughout the 1960s and 1970s, Mr. Huber held various supervisory positions at

Kalium. He was named plant manager of the company's main potash facility in Belle Plain, SK, in 1975, and during the next 15 years held positions of increasing responsibility, including Gen-Manager, Canadian eral Operations, and Vice President of Sales.

A native of Saskatchewan, Mr. Huber earned a bachelor's degree in chemical engineering from the Univer-



Charles O. Dunn

sity of Saskatchewan in 1960.

Mr. Dunn was elected President and and President, KCL Holdings, Inc., CEO of MCC in 1993. Born in Bolivar

> County, MS, he received his B.A. degree in 1970 from The Citadel in Charleston, SC. After serving as an officer with the U.S. Army, he attended the University of Alabama School of Law, graduating in 1975. He practiced in Atlanta, GA, specializing in corporate and tax law. He joined MCC in 1978, as an attorney in the legal department at company headquarters in Yazoo City, MS.

In other action of the PPI

Board, Mr. John M. Van Brunt, President and CEO, Agrium Inc., was elected Chairman of the Finance Committee, New members of the PPI Board of Directors include Mr. Mark G. Boulanger, Western

> Ag-Minerals Company, and Mr. John Lewandowski, New Mexico Potash.

> New members of the FAR Board of Directors include Mr. Garv L. Roth of Western Ag-Minerals Company, Dr. R.E. Stuckey of the Council for Agricultural Science and Technology, Dr. R.A. Wiese Fluid of the Fertilizer Foundation, and Dr. W.R. Thompson, consultant, PPI BC (retired).

# **Robert E. Wagner Award Recipients** Announced

wo outstanding agronomic scientists have been selected to receive the 1995 Robert E. Wagner Award by PPI. The Award allows for worldwide candidate nominations and has two categories...one for a senior scientist and

one for a younger scientist under the age of 40. The recipient in each category receives a monetary award of US\$5,000.

Dr. Loraine D. Bailev of Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada's Brandon Research Centre was selected in the senior scientist category. Mr. David Oiupeng Zeng, Soil and Fertilizer Institute of the

Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, People's Republic of China, received the honor in the young scientist division.

The Robert E. Wagner Award recognizes distinguished contributions to advanced crop yields through maximum yield research (MYR) and maximum economic yield (MEY) management. The

Award honors Dr. Wagner, retired President of PPI, for his many achievements and in recognition of his development of the MEY management concept...for more profitable, efficient agriculture.

"To honor Dr. Wagner through the selection of Dr. Bailey and Mr. Zeng truly exemplifies the purpose of this

award," said Dr. David W. Dibb, President fertilization. Mr. Zeng is steadfast in proof PPI. "With nominations received from all over the world, these two individuals represent the high standards of the Robert E.

Wagner Award."

Dr. Bailev is located at Brandon, MB. Canada. Through his research on fertilizer use efficiency, he has contributed significantly to improved fertilizer management practices for various crops. His research on

> phosphorus, potassium, sulfur, growth stage, and time of harvest for alfalfa showed that growers could greatly increase yield and quality. He has acted as an advisor on graduate student committees and has been involved with the training of scientists through Canadian International the Development Agency and Service World University

Canada. Dr. Bailey is a leader in agronomic research in Canada and an accomplished writer and speaker.

Mr. Zeng is presently working on mineral nutrition of fruit crops as a visiting scholar at the University of California-Davis. He is dedicated to research with fertilizer use for maximizing agricultural production. In collaboration with local scien-

> tists, Mr. Zeng has conducted greenhouse and field research on soil fertility and plant nutrition. As a result, more farmers are adopting higher fertilizer application rates where MYR demonstrated MEY could be obtained by combining higher plant populations, tissue culture planting and high N and K application rates with stable P

moting the concepts of balanced fertilization to local agronomists and farmers in south China. BC





David Zeng

### Information Agriculture Conference

### PLANNED FOR JULY 30 - AUGUST 1, 1996

Dates for the 1996 Information Agriculture Conference are now set for Tuesday, July 30 through Thursday, August I. The event will take place at the Krannert Center for the Performing Arts, University of Illinois, Urbana. Plans were announced by Dr. David W. Dibb, President of PPI.

Dr. Harold F. Reetz, Jr., PPI Midwest Director, Monticello, IL, will serve as chairman of the coordinating committee for the conference. Sponsors will include PPI, the Foundation for Agronomic Research (FAR), National Center for Supercomputer Applications (NCSA), University of Illinois College of Agricultural, Consumer and Environmental Sciences and others to be announced.

The conference will focus on site-specific crop and soil management technology and computer communication systems for agriculture. Program features will include yield mapping and interpretation, variable rate systems, data management, remote sensing, global positioning system potential, geographic information systems, and communication developments.

A larger exhibit hall will allow even more space for companies and organizations to display products and services related to modern crop production and information. An area will also be available for volunteer "poster" presentations where researchers and others can share results of studies and field experience.

Registration fees are \$200 per individual before July 15, 1996 (students \$100). Exhibitor fee is \$300 for a 10 x 10 foot booth, which also includes conference registration for one person.



**THE CYBERFARM** demonstration area will feature more on electronic information and record systems at the 1996 Information Agriculture Conference.

For registration and lodging information, contact:

Mary Hughes, PPI

2805 Claffin Road, Suite 200

Manhattan, KS 66502

Phone (913) 776-0273

Fax (913) 776-8347

E-mail: 72253.114 @ compuserve.com For more information on exhibit arrangements, contact:

**Bill Agerton, PPI** 

655 Engineering Drive, Suite 110 Norcross, GA 30092-2843 Phone (770) 447-0335, ext 209 Direct line: (770) 825-8074 Fax (770) 448-0439

E-mail: 73074.3713 @ compuserve.com Potential co-sponsors and those interested in supporting the conference may contact Dr. Larry S. Murphy at the PPI Manhattan, KS, office: (913) 776-0273 BC

Additional details will be available on the Information Agriculture Conference Home Page on the Internet at: http://w3.ag.uiuc.edu/INFOAG/ or the PPI Home Page at: http://www.agriculture.com/contents/ppi/ppiindex.html. TEXAS

# **Research Tracks Potassium Dissipation Patterns in Rice Production**

By Garry N. McCauley

ater quality and the potential impact of agricultural practices on water quality are among the most compelling environmental issues facing our society. People are concerned about the water they drink, its safety and what is being done taken or at least 12 days after establishment. It was assumed that K (and P) would be dissipated from flood water within 12 days after the flood had reached the bottom of the field.

to protect its quality. Unfortunately, what they read, hear and see is often confusing...and not always based on good science.

Earlier small plot research conducted by Texas A&M University scientists showed that with proper pesticide and water management there is little potential for nonpoint source runoff from rice fields. However, those studies are more than 20 years old and did not include P and K fertilization as variables.

This study was designed to define the degradation patterns and estimate non-point source runoff of P and K.

Twenty producers in a four-county area (Colorado, Jackson, Matagorda and Wharton) were recruited by county Extension agents to participate in the study. Producers took a water sample at the inlet and outlet of each test field following each rain and flush irrigation. Following flood establishment, inlet and outlet samples were taken when the flood reached the bottom of the field and at three day intervals until four samples were

Most Texas rice production is concentrated in areas near the Gulf Coast. Flood waters leaving rice fields can impact on surrounding areas, including coastal waters. This study was established to evaluate the environmental impact of nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) fertilization of rice grown under flood management. An earlier article (BC, Vol. 79, No. 3, 1995) dealt with P fertilization. This one discusses K.

The 20 producers took a total of 220

samples at 116 different times. There were 104 matched inlet and outlet samples, with 12 outlet samples being taken when no inlet water was available.

Little is known about the levels and impact of K on the environment. It has not been considered to be of any potential damage to the environment or to human health as a result of crop fertilization. Potassium concentrations in all samples taken by farmers participating in this project

were rather low. No samples tested contained more than 8.0 parts per million (ppm) K. The only one testing that high was an inlet sample. Sixty percent were non-detectable (less than 0.1 ppm).

Samples were divided into seven groups to allow for detailed interpretation (**Table 1**). Groups A through E can only be interpreted to have a neutral or positive environmental impact. Group F could possibly be a negative environmental factor, the magnitude depending on the amount of concentration increase and whether any such effect of K had been established. The



FIGURE 1. Distribution of inlet-outlet sample change in K concentration for 116 rice field water samples.

impact of G group can not be determined because there was only one sample taken, but it is assumed to be negative (conservative interpretation).

**Figure 1** characterizes the sample distribution for K. Ninety of the samples, 78 percent of the total, were in groups A through E (no environmental impact). Nineteen of the samples increased in concentration, while seven outlet samples...with no matching inlet sample...had detectable concentrations.

**Figure 2** presents the distribution by sample increase. Of the 26 that increased in K concentration, 15 increased by only 1.0 ppm, another six by 2.0 ppm. Of the five samples that appeared to increase by more than 2.0 ppm, two were outlet samples with no inlet partner with which to make comparisons. All were samples from the first water to be applied to a field after a preplant K application. Two of the five samples were from fields that received no



TABLE 1. Seven water sample groups used to

K fertilizer.

In summary, K in rice field runoff was less than 9 lb/A per season and presented little or no environmental threat. Further, there was no correlation between K in runoff and fertilizer K. In fact, some of the fields which received no K fertilizer had some of the highest seasonal losses.

Dr. McCauley is Associate Professor, Texas A&M University System, Eagle Lake, TX.



Sample concentration group, ppm

FIGURE 2. Distribution of K concentration increase from inlet to outlet for 26 rice field water samples that increased.

IDAHO

### Potatoes and Potassium for Irrigated Southern Idaho Soils

By Terry A. Tindall and Dale T. Westermann

Potatoes, a culinary mainstay for many Americans, are at the heart of Idaho agriculture. Historical soil test information in southern Idaho is showing a general decline in available K concentrations from >400 parts per million

(ppm) in the 1960s to 100 to 200 ppm today, suggesting growers are under-fertilizing for present production levels. utilize Potatoes and remove several hundred pounds of K/A at desired yield levels. Information on K fertilizer management needs to be re-evaluated to increase use efficiency and maximize potential benefits.

The University of Idaho presently sets the K soil test critical value for potato production at about 150 ppm (NaHCO<sub>3</sub> extraction). Relatively high potato yields (over 500 cwt/A) are being achieved by many of Idaho's potato growers. At

this production level, the equivalent of over 250 lb/A of  $K_2O$  can be removed in the tubers. These tremendous requirements of K necessitate a relatively abundant supply of available K to the growing plant throughout the growing season.

Idaho growers typically monitor K and other nutrients weekly through petiole

analysis. Many fields fall below suggested K petiole critical ranges during mid- and late-season tuber growth. To alleviate the concerns of potential K deficiency, growers are applying in-season K by solution injection in irrigation water (fertigation).

A 4-year study of potassium (K) requirements of potatoes in southern Idaho indicates that current soil test critical levels suggested by the University of Idaho are appropriate, but that the rate of fertilizer K within each category should be increased. Potassium fertilization can benefit both total yield and the percent of large tubers (>10 oz). Potassium sulfate (K2SO4) produced tubers with higher specific gravity. There appeared to be no advantage from splitting K applications compared to all K preplant unless the rate was high and salt damage became a possibility.

Very little information is available to direct these inseason applications or to indicate how late during tuber growth K can be applied without detrimentally affecting tuber quality. A study was initiated to determine (a) K fertilizer requirements for Russet Burbank potatoes, (b) effectiveness of K solutions applied during tuber growth, (c) the relative effectiveness of K sources. and (d) the K dynamics in the potato plant as related to petiole K concentrations. This article focuses on tuber yield and quality responses obtained with K fertilizer applications.

#### **Idaho Studies**

A series of experiments on growers' irrigated fields has been conducted over a four-year period. All experiments evaluated K fertilizer application rates, sources, broadcast versus banding and preseason versus split applications. Sources included potassium chloride (KCl) and K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Potassium fertigation was simulated by

| Yield                         |       | 1992   | 19    | 93    | 1994  |       |  |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| parameter                     | -K    | +K     | -K    | +K    | -K    | +K    |  |
| Total, cwt                    | 416   | 440    | 330   | 357   | 408   | 419   |  |
| US #1, cwt                    | 254   | 254    | 124   | 134   | 296   | 302   |  |
| Large US #1, cwt <sup>a</sup> | 73    | 106 *  | -     | -     | 82    | 103 * |  |
| >10 oz, %                     | 17.5  | 26.9 * | _     |       | 29    | 33 *  |  |
| Specific gravity              | 1.083 | 1.082  | 1.088 | 1.088 | 1.082 | 1.080 |  |
| Available soil K <sup>b</sup> |       | 102    | 8     | 35    |       | 131   |  |

<sup>b</sup> Sodium bicarbonate extraction, 0-12 inch samples.

application of K during sprinkler events.

The K status of each treatment was monitored by sampling the fourth mature petiole from the growing point as well as vines, roots and tubers every 21 days, beginning at early tuber growth and continuing until vine kill. Tuber yields, grades, and internal quality measurements were determined for each plot.

#### Soil-Applied K Effects

The overall tendency was for K applications to increase both total yields as well as yield and percent of >10 oz. tubers for all studies in which exchangeable soil K ranged from 85 to 131 ppm (Table 1). Potash applications slightly decreased specific gravity in two of three studies. However, slightly higher gravity usually occurred where K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was the K source (averaged across all other treatments) which is consistent with previous research by the authors in Utah. Potato tuber yields were comparable for both K sources. The highest tuber yields in 1992 were recorded at the highest K rate (480 lb K<sub>2</sub>O/A), while the highest K rate (720 lb  $K_2O/A$ ) in 1994 tended to decrease yield (Table 2). Growers who apply such high rates of K should consider a split application to help eliminate the possibility of detrimental salt effects. A portion of the material could be applied in the fall with the remainder in the spring.

#### **Fertigation K Effects**

Growers who are concerned with adequacy of K fertilization should plan ahead so they can apply all of their K on a preplant basis (**Table 3**). There was no evidence that in-season fertilizer K benefited production or tuber quality over a preplant program. Rates of either 30 or 60 lb  $K_2O/A$  or different sources of K made no difference to plant response for in-season K applications (data not shown).

#### **Petiole K Concentrations**

Petiole K concentrations decreased with time after tuber initiation. Concentrations were initially higher with broadcast K applications compared to either banding or fertigation treatments. Concentrations for split applications were moderate and K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> applications tended to produce higher petiole K concentrations than other sources. Petiole K concentration response to fertigation application was slow...15 to 20 days after application. The relationship between the petiole

|                                |                        | Yie   | ld parameters, o | :wt/A               |           |                  |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------|------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------------|
| K Source                       | K <sub>2</sub> O, Ib/A | Total | US #1            | Large US #1<br>1992 | >10 oz, % | Specific gravity |
|                                | 0                      | 416a  | 324a             | 73a                 | 19.9a     | 1.083b           |
| KCI                            | 120                    | 429ab | 351ab            | 101ab               | 25.9b     | 1.083b           |
| KCI                            | 240                    | 429ab | 337a             | 105ab               | 28.4b     | 1.081ab          |
| K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> | 240                    | 451b  | 374b             | 115b                | 27.7b     | 1.082ab          |
| KCI                            | 480                    | 445b  | 373b             | 118b                | 29.8b     | 1.080a           |
|                                |                        |       |                  | 1994                |           |                  |
|                                | 0                      | 408ab | 296bc            | 82                  | 29.8a     | 1.082b           |
| KCI                            | 180                    | 421b  | 310c             | 91                  | 29.6a     | 1.079a           |
| K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> | 180                    | 401a  | 282b             | 94                  | 33.0ab    | 1.081ab          |
| KCI                            | 360                    | 419b  | 285b             | 100                 | 35.1b     | 1.082b           |
| K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> | 360                    | 450c  | 312c             | 102                 | 32.9ab    | 1.078a           |
| KCI                            | 720                    | 389a  | 256a             | 93                  | 35.9b     | 1.077a           |

<sup>a</sup> Means within the same column and experiment followed by different letters are significant at P≤0.05.

K concentration and K uptake rate balance (total plant uptake/tuber uptake) showed average K concentrations of 6.4 percent when the K balance was "1". This balance tended to range from 5.5 to 7.2 percent K depending on tuber growth rate. Growers can use this range as a guide to K sufficiency for irrigated Russet Burbank production in southern Idaho. However, to avoid any potential in-season K deficiency during tuber bulking, growers should not allow the fourth mature petiole to drop below 7.2 percent K.

#### Summary

These studies support the current soil test K critical levels provided by the University of Idaho. However, recommended K fertilization rates for potatoes should be increased over what is presently considered adequate. This is particularly true on coarse, sandy textured soils.

Potassium fertilization increases large tubers as well as total tuber production in



**IDAHO** researchers are showing that rates of fertilizer K for potatoes may need to be increased for better yields and quality.

most years when soil test K levels fall below established critical levels. Potassium sources have little effect on total tuber yields, but K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> applications produced a higher percentage of larger tubers and a trend towards higher specific gravity. Growers should apply the total K fertilizer requirements on a pre 
 TABLE 3. Effect of K application method on tuber yields and specific gravity averaged across K sources.

| Yield                         | 199<br>240 lb l | 2<br>(-0/A | 1994<br>360 lb K <sub>2</sub> O/A |       |  |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------------|-------|--|
| parameter                     | Preplant        | Split      | Preplant                          | Split |  |
| Total, cwt                    | 429b            | 388a       | 434                               | 412   |  |
| US #1, cwt                    | 337b            | 298a       | 298a                              | 315b  |  |
| Large US #1, cwt <sup>a</sup> | 105b            | 72a        | 101b                              | 82a   |  |
| >10 oz, %                     | 28.4b           | 21.9a      | 34.0b                             | 26.9a |  |
| Specific gravity              | 1.081           | 1.081      | 1.079                             | 1.079 |  |

 $^{\rm a}$  Means within the same row and experiment followed with different letters are significant at P<0.05.

plant basis where possible. Higher rates of K should be split into a fall and spring application. Growers who monitor K petiole concentrations should fertigate with K if concentrations drop below 7.2 percent in the fourth mature petiole. The total rate per individual injection should not exceed 30 lb

K<sub>2</sub>O/A during tuber growth. Late season (30 days prior to vine kill) K fertigation applications are probably not effective.

Dr. Tindall is Extension Soils Specialist, University of Idaho, Twin Falls, and Dr. Westermann is Soil Scientist, USDA-ARS, Kimberly, ID.

### North Central Soil Fertility Conference Proceedings Available

Papers of the 1995 North Central Extension-Industry Soil Fertility Conference are available for interested individuals. The Conference, held in St. Louis, MO, November 15-16, was the 25th annual opportunity for agriculturalists from the North Central region of the U.S. and Canada to be updated on the latest developments in soil fertility research and education. The North Central region includes the states of North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Missouri, Iowa, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Illinois, Kentucky, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Pennsylvania and the province of Ontario.

The proceedings of the Conference include presentations on site-specific crop management, improved fertilizer recommendations for nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulfur and zinc, the importance of starter fertilizers for reduced tillage crops, residue interactions with starter fertilizer needs, corn hybrid interactions with starter fertilizer applications, management considerations for returning conservation reserve program (CRP) land to production, systems research for higher yields, efficiency and profits in corn-wheat-doublecropped soybean rotations plus a number of other important topics and reports.

The Conference also encourages graduate student participation and recognition. 1996 Graduate Student Awards were presented to Rogerio Borges, Iowa State University; Jon Charlesworth, Purdue University; Jason Goesch, University of Nebraska; Marcus Jones, Michigan State University; Luiz A. C. Lucchesi, Ohio State University; Karl Ritchie, University of Illinois; Kevin Schoessow, University of Wisconsin; Michael Smith, North Dakota State University; Tad Wesley, Kansas State University; and Terry Wilkerson, Southern Illinois University.

Dates for the 1996 North Central Extension-Industry Soil Fertility Conference are November 20-21 at the Westport Holiday Inn in St. Louis, MO.

Copies of the Conference proceedings are available at a price of \$15 in U.S. funds from the Potash & Phosphate Institute, 2805 Claflin Road, Suite 200, Manhattan, KS 66502, phone 913-776-0273.

### ARKANSAS

# **Potassium Deficiency and Plant Diseases Observed in Rice Fields**

By N.A. Slaton, R.D. Cartwright and C.E. Wilson, Jr.

Potassium deficiencies in rice were once thought to be rare in Arkansas. However, since 1991 the frequency of K deficiency has increased. Two main theories concerning the recent increase in visual symptoms of K deficiency have been pro-

posed. One involves a gradual reduction in soil test K values over time, the other the release of new, high yielding varieties that may require high soil K levels.

A summary of University of Arkansas Soil Testing Laboratory

results (1994-95) for rice indicates that 44 percent of the state rice acreage requires direct K fertilization based on soil test levels less than 175 lb K/A (Mehlich 3). Additionally, 12 percent of the total acreage tests less than 125 lb K/A.

Visual K deficiency symptoms and depressed yields are very likely on soils



**BROWN SPOT** attacks the panicle of *K*-deficient rice.

Although potassium (K) deficiencies in rice were once thought to be rare in Arkansas, the frequency has been increasing. Severe disease problems are often associated with K deficiency.

testing below 100 lb K/A. Visual symptoms include yellowing of leaf tips and margins (normally beginning in the lower canopy), stunted plant growth, reduced tillering, black and deteriorated root systems, and reduced response to midseason topdress

> nitrogen (N) applications. Plant deficiency symptoms usually begin to appear about midseason when yellowing of rice plants is normally attributed to N deficiency. In severe K deficiencies, leaf die-back will proceed from leaf tips down nearly to the base of upper

leaves. Potassium deficiency normally proceeds through a series of leaf color changes, depending on cultivar, including yellow, reddish brown, and eventually a tan/brown color resulting from leaf death. Premature leaf death and accompanying color changes often are a result of increased damage from various rice diseases.

#### **Potassium and Rice Diseases**

Growers often notice severe disease problems on K-deficient rice rather than the early leaf symptoms typical for K-deficient plants. Therefore, they frequently interpret K deficiency as a disease problem rather than a nutrient deficiency. Diseases that are normally not significant problems, such as brown leaf spot (*Bipolaris oryzae*), scab (*Fusarium graninearum*), and stem rot (*Sclerotium oryzae*) overwhelm K-deficient rice. Analysis of diseased panicles from Kdeficient fields in 1994 indicated that brown **TABLE 1.** Selected parameters from tissue analysis of rice affected by K deficiency symptoms in 1994.

| Visual<br>damage  | Number of samples | N    | Р    | Nutrien<br>K | nt, % <sup>a</sup><br>Ca | Mg   | Nutrie<br>Na | nt, ppm <sup>e</sup><br>Mn | N/K <sup>d</sup><br>ratio |
|-------------------|-------------------|------|------|--------------|--------------------------|------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| None <sup>b</sup> | 8                 | 1.75 | 0.18 | 1.16         | 0.71                     | 0.20 | 488          | 1.679                      | 1.5                       |
| Moderate          | 11                | 2.37 | 0.29 | 0.91         | 0.69                     | 0.24 | 1,490        | 1,104                      | 2.6                       |
| Severe            | 14                | 2.08 | 0.27 | 0.45         | 0.67                     | 0.26 | 2,627        | 899                        | 4.6                       |
| Critical value:   | s (>)¢            | 3.00 | 0.20 | 1.50         | 0.20                     | 0.15 | -            | 40                         | -                         |

<sup>a</sup> Tissue was sampled near plant maturity.

<sup>b</sup> Data collected and provided by Dr. Rick Cartwright.

<sup>c</sup> Critical values were taken from Sedberry, J.E., Jr., M.C. Amacher, D.P. Bligh, and O.D. Curtis. Plant-Tissue Analysis as a Diagnostic Aid in Crop Production. Louisiana State University Agricultural Experiment Station, Bulletin No. 783.

<sup>d</sup> N/K ratio of plant tissue.

e ppm = parts per million

spot was found on up to 90 percent of the grains and contributed the largest amount of yield reduction among diseases. Scab was isolated on up to 20 percent of grain from infected panicles. Other minor diseases were also isolated on affected panicles, including black kernel (*Curvularia lunata*) and Fusarium sheath rot (*Fusarium proliferatum*). Stem rot is a sheath/stem disease that is aggravated by K deficiency. Stem rot causes premature death of tillers and partial blanking of panicles, especially in K-deficient plants.

In most K-deficient rice fields that follow flood irrigated sovbeans in rotation, the borrow ditch area of the previous year's soybean levees produces near normal growth. (Levees are graded level with the field after each crop.) The pattern appears as two parallel streaks of "healthier" rice ranging 12 to 18 inches in width and about 4 to 6 feet apart. Soil from the previous year's levee had no plant growth to mine soil K reserves. Since K values normally decrease with soil depth, rice growing in a borrow ditch for the current crop is usually most affected by K deficiency symptoms. This is probably due to low K values in the subsoil exposed by levee formation. Other factors considered to increase the likelihood of K deficiency include rice water weevil larvae (*Lissorhoptrus oryzophilus K*) damage to the rice root system, differences among cultivar K requirements, and inappropriate soil sampling procedures that do not accurately represent the soil fertility status.

#### **Arkansas Conditions**

Potassium deficiencies on rice in Arkansas are most likely to occur on sandy and silt loam fields following irrigated soybeans. Crop removal of K is high under intensive management for top sovbean and rice yields. Nutritionally healthy rice at panicle differentiation should be greater than 1.5 percent K. Tables 1 and 2 provide plant and soil analysis data from deficient fields sampled in 1994. Potassium-deficient rice showing severe symptoms commonly has K levels less than 0.5 percent K, high N/K ratio, high sodium (Na) concentrations, and lower manganese (Mn) levels. Limited information is available on vield reductions due to K deficiency, especially if diseases are present. Field observations suggest that grain quality and yield reductions of 50 to 80 percent are possible from K deficiency coupled with severe disease damage. Historically, severely affected areas are usually small in size, but in 1994 entire fields

|              |                 |             |           | Soil p | arameter mea | sured <sup>a</sup> |     |     |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|--------|--------------|--------------------|-----|-----|
| Visual       | Number          | pHb         | P         | К      | Ca           | Mg                 | Na  | Mn  |
| damage       | of samples      |             |           |        | lb           | lb/Ac              |     |     |
| Noned        | 5               | 6.1         | 27        | 164    | 2,973        | 571                | 122 | 377 |
| Moderate     | 7               | 5.9         | 28        | 62     | 1,744        | 280                | 91  | 127 |
| Severe       | 9               | 5.8         | 22        | 62     | 1,797        | 318                | 83  | 188 |
| a Soil sampl | es were taken n | ear plant i | maturity. |        |              |                    |     |     |

d Data collected and provided by Dr. Cartwright.

were affected.

Two factors were common upon field examination of K deficiencies in grower fields ... many growers did not have recent soil test results and many soil samples represented too large an area to account for soil variability. Educational programs have been implemented in county Extension grower meetings to address these problems. The main focus of educational efforts has centered on the correct use of soil test procedures in determining soil fertility status in rice/soybean rotations and early identification of K deficiency symptoms. Research are underway projects to evaluate University of Arkansas soil test K recommendations, determine vield loss potential due to low soil K values, evaluate the possibility of differences among rice variety K requirements, and compare application timing of K fertilizer to rice. Observations of



STEM ROT takes a heavy toll on K-deficient rice.

disease incidence are also being taken from K fertilization research.

Educational efforts thus far have led to increased soil testing and use of phosphorus (P) and K fertilizers on the 1995 rice crop, especially on the coarser soil textures in areas having severe disease epidemics in 1994. While not a total cure, the impact of this improvement to balanced rice nutrition has apparently already had a positive effect. For instance, dozens of K-deficient fields with severe disease damage were noted in 1994 in northeast Arkansas by the second author, whereas fewer than 10 have been observed in 1995.

Mr. Slaton is Area Rice Specialist, University of Arkansas Cooperative Extension Service. Dr. Cartwright is Research Assistant Professor of Plant Pathology, University of Arkansas. Dr. Wilson is Extension Rice Specialist and Research Assistant Professor of Agronomy, University of Arkansas.

The authors wish to acknowledge the following Arkansas county Extension agents for their contributions to this work: David Annis, Ron Beaty, Henry Chaney, Randy Chlapecka, Stephen Culp, Roger Gipson, Eric Grant, Quinton Hornsby, Lee Hunter, Mark Phillips, Ray Siler, Scott Taylor, Brannon Theisse, Rick Thompson, and Chuck Wisdom. Our thanks also to Paul Dickson, Nancy Wolfe, Dr. Wayne Sabbe, Dr. B. R. Wells, Dr. Cliff Snyder, and Dr. R. J. Norman for technical support and advice.

# J. Fielding Reed PPI Fellowships... A Heritage of Higher Learning

Fifteen years ago the Potash & Phosphate Institute (PPI) established a program of fellowships for students seeking advanced degrees (M.S. or Ph.D.) in soil and plant sciences and related academic fields. In 1987, the PPI Board of Directors named the grants the "J. Fielding Reed PPI Fellowships" in recognition of Dr. Reed, President of the Institute from 1963 to 1975.

Dr. Reed's lifetime commitment to agronomic improvement has been fundamental to his dedication to soil testing as a diagnostic tool. Working closely with the American Society of Agronomy and the university system, he was responsible for establishing several soil and plant analysis laboratories. In the early 1950s he was instrumental in organizing the Georgia Plant Food Educational Society, promoting educational programs on proper fertilizer use. His influence has helped numerous groups and individuals from academic to industry to production and other interests.

Since the first \$2,000 Fellowship was awarded in 1980, there have been 99 recipients representing 36 different universities. Dr. W.R. Thompson, Jr., PPI Midsouth Director (retired October, 1995), chaired the selection committee through 1995. The challenge involved evaluating 653 applications, appropriate transcripts, supporting letters and related information from candidates at 83 universities.

The selection process for the "J. Fielding Reed PPI Fellowship" will now be handled by a committee chaired by Dr. Albert E. Ludwick, PPI Western Director. The Potash & Phosphate Institute is proud to continue the award to students with a preference in soil fertility, soil chemistry and plant nutrition, based on merit, scholarship, research projects and activities. **B**C

#### Annual Statement of Ownership

Statement of ownership, management, and circulation (required by 39 U.S.C. 3685) of Better Crops with Plant Food, published four times a year at 655 Engineering Drive, Suite 110, Norcross, GA 30092-2843 for October 26, 1995. General business offices of the publisher are located at 655 Engineering Drive, Suite 110, Norcross, GA 30092-2843. Name and address of publisher is Potash & Phosphate Institute, 655 Engineering Drive, Suite 110, Norcross, GA 30092-2843. Name and address of editor is Donald L. Armstrong, 655 Engineering Drive, Suite 110, Norcross, GA 30092-2843. There is no managing editor. Owner is Potash & Phosphate Institute, 655 Engineering Drive, Suite 110, Norcross, GA 30092-2843. There are no known bondholders, mortgagees, or other security holders owning or holding 1 percent or more of total amount of bonds, mortgages, or other securities. The average number of copies of each issue during the preceding 12 months is: (a) Total number of copies printed: 18,371; (b) Paid circulation: (1) Sales through dealers and carriers, street vendors, and counter sales: 4,818; (2) Paid or requested mail subscriptions: 10,009; (c) Total paid and/or requested circulation: 14,827; (d) Free distribution by mail: 2,172; (e) Free distribution outside the mail: 19; (f) Total free distribution: 2,191; (g) Total distribution: 17,018; (h) Copies not distributed: (1) Office use, leftovers, spoiled: 1,353; (2) Return from news agents: not applicable; (i) Total: 18,371; Percent paid and/or requested circulation: 87.1%. The actual number of copies of single issue published nearest to filing date is: (a) Total number of copies printed: 18,575; (b) Paid circulation: (1) Sales through dealers and carriers, street vendors, and counter sales: 5,040; (2) Paid or requested mail subscriptions: 9,975; (c) Total paid and/or requested circulation: 15,015; (d) Free distribution by mail: 2,308; (e) Free distribution outside the mail: 17; (f) Total free distribution: 2,325; (g) Total distribution: 17,340; (h) Copies not distributed: (1) Office use, leftovers, spoiled: 1,235; (2) Return from news agents: not applicable; (i) Total: 18,575; Percent paid and/or requested circulation: 86.6%. I certify that all information furnished above is true and complete. I understand that anyone who furnishes false or misleading information on this statement or who omits material or information requested on the statement may be subject to criminal sanctions (including fines and imprisonment) and/or civil sanctions (including multiple damages and civil penalties).

Donald L. Armstrong, Editor

### OHIO

# Adequate Soil Potassium Increases Nitrogen Use Efficiency by Corn

By J.W. Johnson and H.F. Reetz

Developing sound nutrient management plans for crop production requires understanding of the response relationships to the various nutrients. Response curves for N, phosphorus (P), and K are readily available for many

situations to help with this understanding. But the interactions among the nutrients are also important considerations. Adequate data sets are more difficult to find. A detailed study at the Ohio State University Western

Research Center, Springfield, OH, is providing valuable information on the interactions of K and N.

#### Interaction

The experiment was designed to determine the interaction between applied N and residual soil K on corn yield and on the efficiency of N use by corn. The study is being conducted on a Crosby silt loam soil, representative of a large part of the eastern Corn Belt. The soil has a cation

exchange capacity (CEC) of 12 milliequivalents/100g. October 1994 soil tests showed an average pH of 6.5 and an average Bray-P<sub>1</sub> soil test of 39 lb/A. All plots received annual preplant broadcast applications of 50 lb P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/A. In 1992, five K treatments were established as the main plots in a split plot design and annual soil tests were used to monitor K levels. Six different N treatments were established as the split plots. Annual N applications in 80 lb/A increments ranged from 0 to 320 lb/A, with all except the check plot receiving 40 lb N/A as starter and the rest applied as preplant broadcast ammonium nitrate.

Figure 1 shows the interaction between N rate and soil test K level on corn yield. There was a positive yield response to at least 160 lb N/A and to soil test K levels of up to 232 lb K/A. When no N was applied, the optimum soil

test K was below 200 lb K/A. However, when soil test K was above 200 lb K/A, the optimum N rate was between 160 and 240 lb N/A.

The concentration of N in the corn grain increased as N rate and soil test K level increased. The combination of higher yield and higher percent N in the grain resulted in significantly higher total N removed in the grain (**Table 1**). As N rate increased, the percent of total applied N removed in the grain decreased (data not

| TABLE   | : <b>1.</b> Nit<br>app | rogen in gi<br>died N and | rain as infi<br>1 soil K lei | luenced by<br>vel. |         |
|---------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| N rate, |                        | S                         | oil test K, Ib               | /A                 |         |
| Ib/A    | 160                    | 200                       | 232                          | 269                | 278     |
|         |                        | N                         | in grain, lb                 | /A                 |         |
| 0       | 68                     | 74                        | 65                           | 55                 | 60      |
| 80      | 91                     | 107                       | 114                          | 105                | 105     |
| 160     | 101                    | 120                       | 141                          | 137                | 139     |
| 240     | 114                    | 127                       | 138                          | 145                | 147     |
| 320     | 125                    | 136                       | 144                          | 151                | 147     |
|         |                        |                           | Ohio, 1992                   | -1994, 3-yr. a     | average |

Higher soil test potassium (K) levels resulted in significant yield response, higher optimum applied nitrogen (N) rates, more efficient use of the applied N and less nitrate-N remaining in the soil after harvest. shown). But, at all N rates, higher soil test K levels resulted in a higher percentage of the total applied N being removed in the grain. That means higher N

| TABLE   | 2. Incre<br>creas | asing soil to<br>ed uptake o | est K levels<br>of applied N | resulted in i<br>in the tota | n-<br>  plant. |
|---------|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| N rate, |                   | Se                           | oil test K, Ib               | /A                           |                |
| Ib/A    | 160               | 200                          | 232                          | 269                          | 278            |
|         |                   | Percent of                   | applied N i                  | n total plant                | t              |
| 80      | 60                | 88                           | 73                           | 97                           | 103            |
| 160     | 44                | 57                           | 70                           | 80                           | 89             |
| 240     | 27                | 36                           | 40                           | 57                           | 58             |
| 320     | 30                | 37                           | 31                           | 52                           | 45             |

els for higher yields and to make best use of available N. Any on-farm studies to determine optimum N rates should be conducted

use efficiency. Similarly, increasing soil test K levels resulted in increased uptake of applied N in the total plant (**Table 2**).

Post-harvest soil sampling revealed that increasing N application rates resulted in a higher percentage of the total applied N being left in the soil (0-3 ft.) at the end of the season (**Figure 2**). As soil K test level increased, more of the N was taken up by the crop, and significantly less remained in the soil. When soil K levels were above 232 lb K/A, the crop utilized fertilizer N more efficiently, resulting in less nitrate-N remaining in the soil after harvest.

#### Summary

The interaction between soil test K and N use efficiency demonstrates that K soil test should be maintained at high lev-



FIGURE 1. Effects of applied N and soil test K on average corn yields. Springfield, OH, 1992-1995

with high levels of available K. Otherwise, optimum yields and optimum N use efficiency will not be obtained.

When interpreting N response data to be used in formulating nutrient recommendations, it is critical to know that soil test K levels are high enough to optimize the interaction effects demonstrated in this study. If soil test K levels are below optimum, the full benefit of applied N cannot be realized, and more of the applied N may be left in the soil after harvest, resulting in lower profitability and creating greater potential for a negative environmental impact.

Dr. Johnson is Professor of Soil Fertility, School of Natural Resources, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH. Dr. Reetz is Midwest Director, PPI, Monticello, IL.



FIGURE 2. Percent of applied N remaining in soil in October, related to soil test K level.

### CALIFORNIA

# Foliar Applied Potassium Benefits Cotton in the San Joaquin Valley

By Bill L. Weir, Robert Miller and Bruce Roberts

alifornia produces about 20 percent of U.S. upland cotton annually on 1.25 million acres. There are currently 11 different varieties available for growers to choose from. They are grown on a wide variety of soil types and with diverse management

practices.

Along with new varieties have come new technologies and changes in cultural practices. Plant growth regulators such as PIX (mepiquat chloride) and PGR IV

(gibberellic acid and indobuteric acid) may improve yields by aiding in setting and retaining more bolls. Earlier application of the first irrigation to avoid moisture stress has also been beneficial to yields and has enhanced the positive response derived from the plant growth regulators. Intensive crop monitoring has become standardized and is used extensively for pest management and for monitoring progression of the crop.

| Studies in California indicate         |
|--|
| that mid-season potassium              |
| (K) deficiency in cotton can           |
| be avoided with foliar                 |
| sprays. Rates of less than 10          |
| Ib/A of K <sub>2</sub> O can produce a |
| highly economical return.              |

The application of foliar nitrogen (N) and K at or near the early bloom stage of growth, when these nutrients are in peak demand, is a recent practice that is gaining in popularity. Since 1983, such innovations in fertilization and other inputs,

> cultural practices, and overall management have resulted in an average annual cotton yield increase of 32 lb lint/A in California.

> Cotton plants require K at a rate of 1.9 to 3.0 lb/A/day during boll fill.

Previous studies by University of California researchers (Dr. Ken Cassman and others) have demonstrated that these levels of K uptake can be difficult to maintain, especially on vermiculitic soils in the San Joaquin Valley. After years of K depletion (inadequate fertilization), the high K buffering capacity of these soils results in conditions in which very large amounts of soil applied K are fixed.

Growers of the San Joaquin Valley are

aware of the need to be efficient with fertilizer in the sense of minimizing K fixation by the soil as well as preventing losses of nutrients, primarily N, to the groundwater and to the atmosphere. Innovative methods of fertilizer applications such as split sidedress, water run, and

| TABLE 1. | Test site characte | ristics.                             |  |
|----------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Test     | Variety            | Exchangeable<br>K <sup>1</sup> , ppm | Release rate <sup>2</sup> ,<br>ppm/day |

Royale

Maxxa

Pima S-6

160

159

145

2

2

Merced 1992

Merced 1993

<sup>1</sup> In ammonium acetate.

<sup>2</sup> Unocal procedure.

**Kings 1992** 

foliar applications are becoming common. The increasing interest in supplemental applications of N and K by foliar applications is creating many questions that must be answered by researchers.

#### San Joaquin Valley Studies

Field tests were conducted in 1992 and 1993 in Merced and Kings counties in which K was applied in foliar sprays at various times during the growing season. All treatments were replicated four times. In Merced County, one test was conducted with potassium sulfate (K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and two with potassium nitrate (KNO<sub>3</sub>).

Treatments were applied by a tractor sprayer set up for foliar plot work. Plots were eight rows wide (30-inch centers) by one-fourth mile long. Five treatments consisted of foliar applications initiated after first flower at timings of: 1 and 2 weeks; 3 and 4 weeks; 5 and 6 weeks; 7 and 8 weeks; and a control. Each of the two applications consisted of materials applied at the rate of 4.5 lb/A of K<sub>2</sub>O, so that each treatment received a total of 9 lb/A. Acala Rovale and Acala Maxxa were evaluated in the study. Plots were harvested with the grower's straddle row 30-inch picker and weighed with a research scale.

Similarly, the Kings County trials tested the yield response of Pima S-6 to foliar KNO<sub>3</sub> applied after first bloom at: 1, 3, 5, and 7 weeks: 3 and 4 weeks: and 5 and 6 weeks. A fourth treatment consisted of foliar K applied at 3 and 4 weeks to plants growing on soil fertilized preplant at the rate of 360 lb/A of K<sub>2</sub>O using potassium chloride (KCl). Foliar applications went on as KNO3 at the rate of 4.5 lb/A of K2O which totaled 9 lb/A after two applications and 18 lb/A after four applications. This test was conducted by hand spraying plots four rows wide (38-inch centers) and hand harvesting 13-foot lengths from the center two rows for yields.

The cotton varieties used and the available soil K values for each experimental site are presented in **Table 1**. All sites were adequate in exchangeable K according to present University of California guidelines. The K release test also suggests adequate release potential.

#### Results

The Merced and Kings counties tests in 1992 evaluated the importance of timing of foliar KNO<sub>3</sub> (unbuffered solution) on both upland and Pima varieties (**Table 2**). Data in **Figure 1** show that applica-

| Application, weeks                   |     |    |          | Treatmen | ts dates |     |      |      |                  |
|--------------------------------------|-----|----|----------|----------|----------|-----|------|------|------------------|
| after first flower<br>Acala (Merced) | 7/1 | קר | 7/16     | 7/22     | 7/28     | 8/4 | 8/12 | 8/20 | Lint yield, lb/A |
| Control                              | -   | -  | -        | -        | -        | _   |      | -    | 1,291            |
| 1&2                                  | +   | +  | -        | -        | -        | -   |      | -    | 1,360            |
| 3&4                                  |     | -  | +        | +        | -        | -   | -    | -    | 1,411            |
| 5&6                                  | -   | -  | -        | -        | +        | +   | -    | -    | 1,367            |
| 7&8                                  | -   | -  | -        | -        | -        | -   | +    | +    | 1,313            |
| Pima (Kings)                         |     |    |          |          |          |     |      |      |                  |
| Control                              |     | -  | $\sim -$ |          | <u></u>  | -   | _    |      | 1,310            |
| 1-7                                  | -   | +  | -        | +        | -        | +   | 1    | +    | 1,371            |
| 4&6                                  | _   | -  | -        | -        | +        |     | +    | 12   | 1,332            |
| 5&7                                  | -   | -  | -        |          | -        | +   |      | +    | 1,255            |
| 360 lb K <sub>2</sub> 0/A soil       | -   | -  | -        | -        | +        | -   | +    | -    | 1,397            |

#### TABLE 2. Foliar KNO3 application effects on yields of Acala and Pima cottons, 1992.

tions of  $\text{KNO}_3$  at about three weeks after bloom resulted in greater yields than either earlier or later applications. The highest yield in the Pima test when there was no soil applied K treatment occurred with four sequential applications at 1, 3, 5, and 7 weeks after first bloom. The yields were not significantly different, but the trend supports the results from the upland tests.

The 1993 tests employed both KNO<sub>3</sub> and  $K_2SO_4$  applied foliarly (unbuffered solutions) to Acala Maxxa cotton in Merced County and KNO<sub>3</sub> applied to Pima S-7 in Kings County. Results from these tests were very similar to those of the previous year. The greatest yield responses were measured from plots receiving foliar K at 2 to 3 weeks after bloom initiation. Yield response was less as foliar applications were made later in the season. The effect was similar whether the K fertilizer source was KNO<sub>3</sub> or K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

#### Summary

The results of these tests show that benefits can be obtained from foliar applications of K. New, more determinant cotton varieties which set the crop over a short period of time require larger



FIGURE 1. Cotton response to foliar-applied K at various times after first flower.



**NEW COTTON** varieties which set a heavy boll load over a short period of time require larger amounts of K. High yield management of these varieties has led to widespread occurrence of K deficiency symptoms during mid and late season in the San Joaquin Valley.

amounts of some nutrients, such as K and N, during this critical stage of development. These varieties coupled with cultural practices aimed at obtaining high yields and which push the crop toward earlier termination also intensify nutrient demand. One result has been the widespread occurrence of K deficiency symptoms in cotton fields during mid- and late-

season. Even though soil K levels are "adequate" according to present guidelines, foliar applications at 2 to 3 weeks after first bloom produce a positive yield response. BC

Dr. Weir is Extension Farm Advisor, Merced County, Dr. Miller is Extension Soils Specialist, University of California, Davis, and Mr. Roberts is Extension Farm Advisor, Kings County, California.

# Dr. N.R. Usherwood Receives SSSA Professional Service Award

r. Noble R. Usherwood, Southeast Director of PPI and Vice President of the Foundation for Agronomic Research, received the Soil Science Professional Service Award at the 1995 annual meeting of the Soil Science Society of America (SSSA) recently in St. Louis.

The award recognizes outstanding achievements in soil science through education, research, and national and international service.

Dr. Usherwood earned degrees from Southern Illinois University and the University of Maryland. He is a Fellow of the American Society of Agronomy (ASA), the Crop Science Society of America, and SSSA. He received the 1990 ASA Agronomic Industry Award, and has often been recognized for his service at state and regional levels. He served as Board Chair of the Agronomic Science Foundation and on the National CCA Coun-



cil, as Chair of the Georgia State CCA Board, as a teacher of basic principles of soil science to industry, on university and industry advisory boards, and on many SSSA committees.

# **Dr. James D. Beaton Elected Honorary Member of CFI**

The Canadian Fertilizer Institute Board of Directors has elected Dr. James D. Beaton as an Honorary Member. The award was presented during the annual summer convention of CFI in August.



"Dr. Beaton has accomplished an important role in representing the fertilizer industry, both in Canada and internationally," said Roger L. Larson, Managing Director of CFI. Before his retirement in 1994, Dr. Beaton served as Senior Vice President for International Programs of PPI and President of the Potash & Phosphate Institute of Canada (PPIC). Dr. Beaton's career included positions in university, government, industry and various associations. PPI/PPIC international programs flourished under his direction.

A prolific researcher and writer, Dr. Beaton was involved with a wide spectrum of papers and presentations on agronomic subjects. A native of British Columbia, he is co-author of the widely used textbook, *Soil Fertility and Fertilizers*, now in its fifth edition.

Dr. Beaton and his wife, Doris, live in Kelowna, BC.

In a 1993-94 survey of

ing tomato fields in

approximately 100 process-

California, potassium (K) was identified as the most limiting nutrient. Follow-up studies have illustrated the utility of determining the soil K release rate and fixation potential in formulating a K fertilizer management plan. Water-run K is effective in supplementing the K supply during peak crop demand and appears especially beneficial for soils with a high K fixing capacity.

### requirement. Potassium uptake on a high yield crop can exceed 400 lb/A, the majority of which is in the fruit. All current cultivars have a strongly determinate

rocessing tomato, a major crop in

California, has a very high K

CALIFORNIA

Potassium Nutrition

of Processing Tomato

growth habit, concentrating fruit set in a 3 to 4 week period. Peak K uptake, which corresponds to the rapid fruit bulking period, can exceed 10 lb K/A/day. In a 1993-94 survey of approximately 100 processing tomato fields, K was identified as the most frequently limiting nutrient. Beyond direct yieldlimiting effects, K availability has been linked to important fruit quality factors such as soluble solids content and color.

By T.K. Hartz

Over the years,

numerous K fertilizer trials on processing tomato have been conducted in California with mixed results. There are two factors which may help explain the often contradictory results...the way in which soil K supply is evaluated, and the method of K application. Historically, a standard extraction technique (ammonium acetate or equivalent) has been the most common measure of soil K availability. Extraction procedures, while useful, present a one-dimensional picture of soil K supply. In reality, soil K availability is a dynamic process.

There are three interlocking pools of soil K that impact plant availability: K in soil solution, K on exchange sites, and K trapped in interlayer sites of clay minerals.

#### Soil Test K Comparisons

A comparison of soil test methods to evaluate K dynamics was conducted on approximately 80 soils repof central resentative California conditions. The three techniques evaluated were ammonium acetate extraction, K release rate, and K fixation potential. Potassium release rate was measured by a seven day incubation of a 1:10 mixture of soil and 0.01M CaCl<sub>2</sub>...K in solution was reported as parts per million (ppm) release per day. To assay K fixation, 390

ppm K (as KNO3 solution) was thoroughly blended with soil to create a slurry, which was air-dried to simulate a typical field wetting/drying cycle. This K-enriched soil sample was subjected to a seven day incubation in CaCl<sub>2</sub> as previously described, then extracted in 1N NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. Added K not recovered was considered to be fixed.

Ammonium acetate extractable K and K release rate were correlated but individual soils differed dramatically from the general relationship. Since plants obtain most K from soil solution, K release rate

|   |  | Fruit yield, tons/A        |                  |                    |        |
|---|--|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Site  | Ammonium acetate<br>extractable K, ppm | K release rate,<br>ppm/day | K fixation,<br>% | Treated            | Contro |
| Tracy   | 100                                    | 2.6                        | 60               | 31.2*              | 27.4   |
| Sacramento                                    | 123                                    | 3.1                        | 20               | 38.3*              | 36.9   |
| Dixon   | 205                                    | 5.2                        | 0                | 42.0NS             | 40.2   |
| UCD   | 284                                    | 8.5                        | 0                | 53.1 <sup>NS</sup> | 51.9   |
| *Significant at<br><sup>NS</sup> Not signific | p=.05<br>ant                           |                            |                  |                    |        |

may be a more appropriate procedure to evaluate a soil's ability to meet crop uptake at peak demand. The ability to fix added K differed widely among soils. A majority of soils tested fixed little or no K, about 30 percent exhibited a modest level of fixation, while 10 percent had very high fixation capacity. As expected, K fixation was mostly a phenomenon of soils with limited K supply (<150 ppm extractable), but there was considerable variability in fixation potential among low K soils.

#### **Field Trials**

Four K fertilizer trials were conducted in 1994. They measured the response of processing tomato to modest K amounts delivered in irrigation water during peak crop demand. The sites were chosen to exhibit a range of soil K availability and fixation values. At three sites, two waterrun applications of 50 lb K<sub>2</sub>O/A each (as KCl) were applied in consecutive furrow irrigation during the late fruit set/early fruit bulking period. At the other site, three weekly applications of 33 lb K<sub>2</sub>O/A were applied through drip irrigation during the same phenological period. At each location, four single row treated plots were paired with untreated plots in randomized block design. Once-over, destructive harvest was done to simulate commercial mechanical harvest.

Response to water-run K varied by site (**Table 1**). Modest but statistically significant yield increases were obtained at Tracy and Sacramento, which had the lowest available K values and the highest fixation values. The response at Tracy was particularly heartening, given the high fixation potential.

Previous research has suggested that, using preplant or sidedress applications, very high application rates are required to achieve significant response in soil with high fixation potential. The delivery of K in water at peak crop demand apparently allowed the crop to compete effectively for applied K.

At a contract price of approximately \$50/ton of fruit, even a modest yield response made low rate, water-run K application a profitable practice. Tissue and fruit K levels were still marginal in treated plots at both responding sites, suggesting that higher K application rates may be required for maximum response. There was no treatment difference in fruit color or soluble solids content at any site.

Dr. Hartz is Extension Vegetable Specialist, Department of Vegetable Crops, University of California, Davis, CA.

### NE U.S./E CANADA

# Potassium Responses in Northern Forages

By Thomas W. Bruulsema and Jerry H. Cherney

Research has confirmed K needs for economically optimum yields of northern forages.

Alfalfa responses in soils with high K test levels were recorded by Dr. D.B. Beegle at two sites in Pennsylvania. Although there was no response the first year, in the second and third production years the most economic rate of K fertilizer increased to 110

Alfalfa

An alfalfa K response trial was con-

ducted in Aurora, NY, by S.D. Klausner of Cornell University from 1988 to 1992, continuing a series of soil test calibrations. Six annual rates of K were applied on a silt loam soil testing low in K (69 lb/A). The most economic rate<sup>1</sup> for the four years' production was an annual  $K_2O$ application of 260 lb/A, compared to a previous

recommendation of 110 lb/A. Recently, New York recommendations for medium textured soils testing low to medium in K have been increased. The most economic rate of K application varied little among the four production years. However, responses increased as the stand aged.

Northern forages require potassium (K) for optimal yields, but K concentrations in ruminant diets warrant attention. Best management uses soil testing and forage analysis to ensure high yields and persistence. Liming, timing of harvests, species, varieties and age of stand all influence K management in forages. and 190 lb/A at Rock Springs and 200 and 260 lb/A at Landisville. The critical K concentration in forage for avoiding yield losses appeared to be between 2.5 and 2.8 percent.

Intensive alfalfa management research was conducted in Ontario by Dr. R.W. Sheard from 1984 to 1986. In the second production year (1986) of a five-cut

management system, yields showed a small response to K even though soil test levels were high. In both 1985 and 1986, forage K concentration was increased by fertilizer K. The 1986 response suggested a critical level of 2.7 percent.

| 20 applied, | F   | ertilizer N, Ib, | /A  | Fe   | ertilizer N, Ib/ | /A   |
|-------------|-----|------------------|-----|------|------------------|------|
| Ib/A        | 100 | 200              | 300 | 100  | 200              | 300  |
|             | H   | ay yield, tons   | /A  | Kc   | oncentration     | ,%   |
| 0           | 3.6 | 5.1              | 5.5 | 1.73 | 1.02             | 0.86 |
| 200         | 3.6 | 5.9              | 6.9 | 2.86 | 2.73             | 2.46 |
| 400         | 3.5 | 5.7              | 6.7 | 3.07 | 3.22             | 3.20 |

<sup>1</sup> Economically optimum rates mentioned in this article assume a price ratio of 3 lb hay per lb of  $K_2O$  for alfalfa; 3.75 lb hay per lb of  $K_2O$  for grass.

### Better Crops/Vol. 79 (1995, No. 4)

#### **Forage Grasses**

A Connecticut experiment on nitrogen (N) and K responses of reed canarygrass was established in 1983. The soil was a Paxton fine sandy loam with soil test K of 240 lb/A. Initial rates of both N and K<sub>2</sub>O were 0, 200 and 400 lb/A for the first two years, during which there were significant responses to N but not to K. From 1985 through 1988, N rates were changed to 100, 200 and 300 lb/A, maintaining the same three K rates. During those four years there were consistent responses to K at the higher levels of N (Table 1). Economically optimum rates of N and K<sub>2</sub>O were 300 and 200 lb/A, respectively, at which forage K concentration was below 2.5 percent.

Fertilization of mixed grass sods on Bangor and Monarda silt loam soils in Maine produced strong responses. At the optimum N rate of 200 lb/A, an economic response up to 150 lb/A of K<sub>2</sub>O was measured (**Table 2**). At that rate of K fertilization, first cut grass averaged less than 2 percent K.

#### **Management Considerations**

Potassium responses in both legume and grass forages increase over time as the stand ages. There are several reasons for this:

- higher availability of soil K induced by tillage at establishment
- uniform incorporation of initial K application before seeding
- ability of forages to exploit K deep in the soil

As soil K is rapidly depleted by large removals, the forage crop relies more strongly on annual surface applications of K fertilizer. Split

applications of K may help. When all the K is applied in the spring, the first cut tends to have high K.

The relationship between protein and K concentration in forage is important. Potassium is essential both as a counter-ion for nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) uptake, and for the conversion of soluble N compounds into proteins. Potassium has traditionally been recognized as essential for persistence of legumes in legume/grass mixtures. Research in New Brunswick has shown that it is also essential for persistence of grasses such as timothy.

Response of grass hay to K in

K concentration.

%

1.37

1.90

2.56

Maine averaged over two locations and three years.

Hay yield,

tons/A

3.6

4.1

4.2

45% timothy, 45% guackgrass, 10% bluegrass.

On acid soils, application of lime increased forage yields and decreased forage K concentrations (**Table 3**). Dolomitic lime was particularly effective in affecting the ratio of K to magnesium (Mg). Higher Mg concentrations in forage are important in reducing the potential for grass tetany, but K supplies must be monitored to be sure that higher yield potentials can be achieved.

#### Summary

TABLE 2.

K<sub>2</sub>O applied

Ib/A

50

150

300

Management that both maximizes economic yield and optimizes forage K concentration requires close monitoring of both plant and soil. Regular forage analysis helps to evaluate the fertilizer program as well as to determine feed management. Soil testing should be at least an annual event.

Dr. Bruulsema is Director, Eastern Canada and Northeast U.S., PPI, Guelph, Ontario. Dr. Cherney is Professor, Forage Management/Utilization, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY.

| Forage             | Forage K concentration, % |               |                |  |  |  |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| species            | No lime                   | Calcitic lime | Dolomitic lime |  |  |  |
| Perennial ryegrass | 3.4                       | 2.7           | 2.7            |  |  |  |
| Tall fescue        | 2.9                       | 2.5           | 2.4            |  |  |  |
| Orchardgrass       | 3.6                       | 3.1           | 2.9            |  |  |  |
| White clover       | 3.9                       | 2.6           | 2.7            |  |  |  |
| Red clover         | 3.5                       | 2.1           | 2.4            |  |  |  |

25

### **Conversion Factors for Metric and U.S. Units**

| LENGTH $0.621$<br>$1.094$<br>$0.394$ kilometer, km<br>meter, m<br>centimeter, cmmile, mi<br>yard, yd $1.609$<br>$0.914$<br>$2.54$ AREAColspan="2">AREA0.386<br>2.471kilometer2, km2<br>hectare, hamile2, mi2<br>acre, acre2.590<br>0.405O.09073<br>2.471cubic meter, m3<br>hectoliter, hi<br>bushet, bu<br>tubic foot, fi3<br>cubic foot, fi3<br>bushet, bu<br>bushet, bu<br>0.3522O.09073<br>3.532<br>2.633<br>2.633<br>2.633<br>2.6283<br>1.057totic foot, fi3<br>bushet, bu<br>0.3522O.09073<br>3.532<br>2.633<br>2.633<br>2.6283<br>1.057totic foot, fi3<br>bushet, bu<br>0.3522O.09073<br>3.532<br>2.633<br>2.633<br>2.6283<br>2.6283<br>4.00254<br>4.1057totic foot, fi3<br>bushet, bu<br>0.3522O.09073<br>3.22832<br>2.633<br>2.6254totic foot, fi3<br>bushet, bu<br>0.3522O.09073<br>3.2244<br>0.025dotic foot, fi3<br>bushet, bu<br>0.35220.0254<br>2.205<br>guintal, q<br>guintal, q<br>guintal, foctare<br>gram, gtotic (short)<br>pundredweight/acre<br>budre1.102<br>2.205<br>2.005<br>2.205<br>2.0052totic (short)/acre<br>budre2.240<br>budre0.446<br>basic<br>budretotic (short)/acre<br>budre2.240<br>budre1.102<br>2.205<br>B.1007C<br>2.205<br>B.2107C<br>C.205<br>D.207C<br>C.205<br>D.207C<br>D.207C<br>D.207C<br>D.207C<br>D.207C<br><th>To convert<br/>column 1<br/>into column 2,<br/>multiply by:</th> <th>Column 1</th> <th>Column 2</th> <th>To convert<br/>column 2<br/>into column 1,<br/>multiply by:</th>       | To convert<br>column 1<br>into column 2,<br>multiply by: | Column 1  | Column 2  | To convert<br>column 2<br>into column 1,<br>multiply by: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $  |  |   | LENGTH  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AREA0.386kilometer?, km²<br>kilometer?, km²<br>acre, acremile², mi²<br>acre, acre2.590<br>0.004052.471hectare, haacre, acre0.405VOLUMEVOLUME0.00973cubic meter, m³<br>hectoliter, h1<br>totshet, bu<br>0.352acre, acre0.405O.2832<br>0.2838<br>hectoliter, h1<br>bushet, bu<br>0.352MASSO.2097.3<br>0.0284<br>1.1057cubic foot, f1<br>uter, h1<br>bushet, bu<br>0.3520.2832<br>0.2834<br>0.3522MASSVLUMECubic meter, m³<br>0.02832<br>0.0284<br>1.1057acre-inch<br>  | 0.621<br>1.094<br>0.394                                  | kilometer, km<br>meter, m<br>centimeter, cm   | mile, mi<br>yard, yd<br>inch, in  | 1.609<br>0.914<br>2.54                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $   | AREA   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOLUME $0.00973$ cubic meter, m <sup>3</sup> acre-inch         102.8 $3.532$ hectoliter, hl         cubic foot, ft <sup>3</sup> 0.2832 $2.838$ hectoliter, hl         bushel, bu         0.352 $0.0284$ liter, 1         bushel, bu         0.352 $0.0284$ liter, 1         bushel, bu         0.352 $0.0284$ liter, 1         bushel, bu         0.352 $0.0284$ iter, 1         bushel, bu         0.352 $0.0284$ iter, 1         bushel, bu         0.352 $0.0284$ guintal, q         quart (liquid), qt.         0.946 $0.355$ guintal, q         pound, b         0.454 $2.205$ quintal, q         pound, b         0.454 $0.351$ gg/ha         hectoliter/hectare         ton (short)/acre         2.240 $0.436$ tonne(metric)/hectare         ton (short)/acre         1.12 $0.436$ tonne(metric/hectare         bu/acre         0.87 $1.15$ hectoliter/hectare         fore         1.12 $0.436$ tonne(metric/he  | 0.386<br>247.1<br>2.471                                  | kilometer <sup>2</sup> , km <sup>2</sup><br>kilometer <sup>2</sup> , km <sup>2</sup><br>hectare, ha | mile <sup>2</sup> , mi <sup>2</sup><br>acre, acre<br>acre, acre                             | 2.590<br>0.00405<br>0.405                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |  | VOLUME  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MASS           1.102         tonne (metric)         ton (short)         0.9072           2.205         quintal, q         hundredweight, cwt (short)         0.454           2.005         gram, g         ounce (avdp), oz         28.35           VIELD OR RATE           0.446           0.891         tonne(metric)/hectare         ton (short)/acre         2.240           0.891         quintal/hectare         ton (short)/acre         2.240           0.891         quintal/hectare         ton (short)/acre         2.240           0.891         celoiler/hectare, hl/ha         bu/acre         0.87           TEMPERATURE           (1.8 x C) + 32         Celcius, C         Fahrenheit, F         0.56 x (F-32)           O°F           0°C         32°F         20°C         68°F           0°C         32°F         20°C         68°F           0°°C         32°F         20°C         68°F           0°°C         32°F         20°C         68°F           0°°C         32°F         20°C         68°F           0°°C         32°F         20°C         68°F           10°°C         10° </td <td>0.00973<br/>3.532<br/>2.838<br/>0.0284<br/>1.057</td> <td>cubic meter, m<sup>3</sup><br/>hectoliter, hl<br/>hectoliter, hl<br/>liter, 1<br/>liter, 1</td> <td>acre-inch<br/>cubic foot, ft<sup>3</sup><br/>bushel, bu<br/>bushel, bu<br/>quart (liquid), qt.</td> <td>102.8<br/>0.2832<br/>0.352<br/>35.24<br/>0.946</td> | 0.00973<br>3.532<br>2.838<br>0.0284<br>1.057             | cubic meter, m <sup>3</sup><br>hectoliter, hl<br>hectoliter, hl<br>liter, 1<br>liter, 1             | acre-inch<br>cubic foot, ft <sup>3</sup><br>bushel, bu<br>bushel, bu<br>quart (liquid), qt. | 102.8<br>0.2832<br>0.352<br>35.24<br>0.946               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | MASS   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VIELD OR RATE $0.446$<br>$0.891$<br>$0.891$<br>$1.15$ tonne(metric)/hectare<br>kg/ha<br>quintal/hectare<br>hectoliter/hectare,hl/haton (short)/acre<br>b/acre<br>hundredweight/acre<br>bu/acre2.240<br>1.12<br>1.12<br>0.87Celcius, C<br>$-17.8^{\circ}$<br>$0^{\circ}C$<br>$20^{\circ}C$<br>$20^{\circ}C$<br>$212^{\circ}F$ Fahrenheit, F<br>$0^{\circ}F$<br>$212^{\circ}F$ 0.56 x (F-32)<br>$0.56 x (F-32)$ METRIC PREFIX DEFINITIONSmega<br>kilo<br>hecto1,000,000<br>$1,000$ deca<br>basic metric unit<br>$0.1$ 10<br>$1$<br>milli<br>$0.1$ centi<br>$0.001$<br>$0.000001$   | 1.102<br>2.205<br>2.205<br>0.035                         | tonne (metric)<br>quintal, q<br>kilogram, kg<br>gram, g   | ton (short)<br>hundredweight, cwt (short<br>pound, Ib<br>ounce (avdp), oz                   | 0.9072<br>) 0.454<br>0.454<br>28.35                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $  | 1  | YIE   | LD OR RATE  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TEMPERATURE $(1.8 \times C) + 32$ Celcius, C<br>$-17.8^{\circ}$<br>$0^{\circ}C$<br>$20^{\circ}C$<br>$100^{\circ}C$ Fahrenheit, F<br>$0^{\circ}F$<br>$32^{\circ}F$<br>$212^{\circ}F$ $0.56 \times (F-32)$<br>$0.56 \times (F-32)$ METRIC PREFIX DEFINITIONSmega<br>kilo<br>hecto $1,000,000$<br>$1,000$ deca<br>basic metric unit<br>$0.1$ $10$<br>mili<br>micro $0.01$<br>mili<br>$0.000001$   | 0.446<br>0.891<br>0.891<br>1.15                          | tonne(metric)/hecta<br>kg/ha<br>quintal/hectare<br>hectoliter/hectare,h                             | are ton (short)/acre<br>Ib/acre<br>hundredweight/acre<br>I/ha bu/acre                       | 2.240<br>1.12<br>1.12<br>0.87                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $ \begin{array}{c} (1.8 \times \text{C}) + 32 \\ (1.8 \times \text{C}) + 32 \\ \hline & -17.8^{\circ} \\ 0^{\circ}\text{C} \\ 20^{\circ}\text{C} \\ 100^{\circ}\text{C} \\ 212^{\circ}\text{F} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.56 \times (\text{F-32}) \\ 0.56 \times (\text{F-32}) \\ 0^{\circ}\text{F} \\ 32^{\circ}\text{F} \\ 68^{\circ}\text{F} \\ 212^{\circ}\text{F} \\ \end{array} \\ \hline \\ \hline$  |  | TEMPERATURE   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mega       1,000,000       deca       10       centi       0.01         kilo       1,000       basic metric unit       1       milli       0.001         hecto       100       deci       0.1       micro       0.000001   | (1.8 x C) + 32   | Celcius, C<br>-17.8°<br>0°C<br>20°C<br>100°C  | Fahrenheit, F<br>0°F<br>32°F<br>68°F<br>212°F   | 0.56 x (F-32)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mega         1,000,000         deca         10         centi         0.01           kilo         1,000         basic metric unit         1         milli         0.001           hecto         100         deci         0.1         micro         0.000001   |  | METRIC PI   | REFIX DEFINITIONS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | mega<br>kilo<br>hecto                                    | 1,000,000 deca<br>1,000 basic metr<br>100 deci  | ic unit 1 centi<br>0.1 milli  | 0.01<br>0.001<br>0.000001                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Corn-bu/A x 0.063 = tonnes/ha Soybeans-bu/A x 0.067 = tonnes/ha Wheat-bu/A x 0.067 = tonnes/ha Grain Sorghum-bu/A x 0.056 = tonnes/ha

# Potassium Improves Yield and Quality of Mulberry Leaves

By Fu Jianrong, Zhan Changgeng, Jiang Lina and Wu Zheng

Worms used in producing raw silk. The mulberry growing area in Zhejiang province, People's Republic of China, has expanded to more than 90,000 hectares as a result of high income oppor-

tunities from exporting silk products. Newly developed mulberry production is located mainly in river basin areas, on coarse textured soils with low fertility. In these soils, balanced fertilization is necessary to obtain high yield and good quality leaves.

This report presents recent research results to show the effects of K on both yield and quality of mulberry leaves.

A field trial was conducted on a sandy clay soil derived from alluvial deposit with soil pH of 4.4, organic matter 3.6 percent, and readily available nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and K of 205, 44, and 78 parts per million (ppm), respectively.

Potassium treatments, applied as KCl,

were:  $K_0$ ,  $K_{45}$ ,  $K_{90}$  and  $K_{135}$ , where subscript numbers indicate  $K_2O$ rates, kg/ha. An equal application of 310 kg N and 42 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha was given to all treatments. All P, K and half of the N fertilizer were applied into the furrow between the rows, then covered Recent research shows potassium (K) has positive effects on yield and quality of mulberry leaves used as food for silkworms. Higher K rates appear profitable and deserve further study in field trials.

with soil, on June 5, after pruning of the bushes. The remaining N was applied as topdressing on June 27.

A local mulberry variety, "Tong Xianqin", was used. Leaves were harvested from the first week in July until the first

week of October when feeding by the autumn silk worm ended. On average, mulberry leaves were harvested every three days. Fresh leaf weight is reported in **Table 1**.

Potassium markedly increased fresh leaf yield and economic return.

Data show that with K application rates of 45 and 135 kg  $K_2O/ha$ , total fresh leaf weight increased by 13.1 and 33.4 percent, respectively, compared to the unfertilized check plot. Respectively, the net economic benefit over the control was increased by 823 and 2,101 yuan/ha (US\$1=approximately 8.5 yuan). The value:cost ratio (VCR) ranged from 11,7 to 13.7.

The 135 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha rate on mulberry

| <b>TABLE 1.</b> Potassium improves yield and economic return<br>of mulberry. |                               |                             |                         |                    |                        |      |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| K <sub>2</sub> O<br>treatment,<br>kg/ha                                      | Yield,<br>kg fresh<br>leaf/ha | Yield<br>increase,<br>kg/ha | Yield<br>increase,<br>% | K cost,<br>yuan/ha | Net profit,<br>yuan/ha | VCR  |  |  |  |
| Ko   | 20,957                        | -                           | -                       | -                  | -                      | -    |  |  |  |
| K45  | 23,700                        | 2,743                       | 13.1                    | 60                 | 823                    | 13.7 |  |  |  |
| K <sub>90</sub>  | 26,314                        | 5,357                       | 25.6                    | 120                | 1,607                  | 13.4 |  |  |  |
| K <sub>135</sub>   | 27,946                        | 7,007                       | 33.4                    | 180                | 2,101                  | 11.7 |  |  |  |

gave the highest net profit at a high VCR (11.7). This is consistent with findings from other crops and suggests the need for further increasing the rate of K fertilization on this type of soil, even though soil analysis did not indicate extreme K deficiency.

| K <sub>2</sub> 0<br>treatment,<br>kg/ha | Number of<br>branches/<br>plant | Height of<br>branches,<br>cm | Diameter of<br>branch base,<br>cm | Leaf<br>area,<br>cm <sup>2</sup> | Dry weight<br>of leaves,<br>g |
|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Ko                                      | 27                              | 124.5                        | 0.76                              | 15.3                             | 1.32                          |
| K45                                     | 25                              | 131.5                        | 0.90                              | 16.4                             | 1.48                          |
| K <sub>90</sub>                         | 26                              | 176.1                        | 1.04                              | 18.2                             | 1.51                          |
| K <sub>135</sub>                        | 28                              | 180.5                        | 1.05                              | 19.5                             | 1.46                          |

Potassium promoted the growth of mulberry plants. It was clearly observed that plants treated with K had more uniform growth and erect branches with bigger, fresher, green colored leaves. Data measured at the last harvest indicated that with K application branch height increased by 7 to 56 cm, branch diameter by 0.14 to 0.29 cm, number of leaves per branch by 4.5 to 16.5 (data not shown) and leaf area by 1.1 to 4.2 cm<sup>2</sup>. Dry weight of leaves was also improved (**Table 2**). All these contributed to increasing yield.

Potassium also improved quality of mulberry leaves (**Table 3**). In newly matured leaves treated with 90 and 135 kg/ha  $K_2O$ , the K content increased by 60 and 80 percent, N by 10.9 and 12.1 percent and P by 8.3 and 16.7 percent, respectively. Calcium (Ca), sulfur (S) and boron (B) tended to increase also in comparison with the  $K_0$ treatment, which demonstrates that balanced fertilization improves the use of nutrients by plants. High K content in leaves enhances production of protein (**Table 3**). In the  $K_{90}$  and  $K_{135}$  treatments, leaf protein content was 2.7 and 3.0 percent higher compared to the  $K_0$  treatment, while total amino acids increased by 1.9 and 2.0 percent. Specific amino acids such as glutamic, glycine, alamine, valine and isoleucine appeared to be particularly responsive to additional K among those tested.

From results presented, it can be concluded that in coarse textured alluvial soils, increasing K fertilizer input is very profitable. The data also indicate that the level of 135 kg/ha  $K_2O$  may not be high enough and that additional rates should be tested to determine the maximum economic yield for mulberry leaves. Since silk production is currently a highly profitable agricultural business, there is urgency to obtain this information.

These data are consistent with results obtained in other crops. It appears that new field trials need to be estab-

| K <sub>2</sub> O,<br>ka/ha | N    | P    | K<br>% | Ca   | Mg   | Zn | Fe  | Mn  | B  | S   | Amino<br>acids. % | Protein, |
|----------------------------|------|------|--------|------|------|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-------------------|----------|
| Kg/nu<br>Ka                | 4.04 | 0.24 | 1.26   | 1 21 | 0.27 | 24 | 114 | 00  | 44 | 100 | 10 /              | 25.2     |
| <u>~0</u>                  | 4.04 | 0.24 | 1.50   | 1.21 | 0.57 | 34 | 114 | 55  | 44 | 433 | 13.4              | 23.5     |
| K <sub>45</sub>            | 4.03 | 0.23 | 1.32   | 1.30 | 0.31 | 41 | 113 | 134 | 46 | 450 | 18.8              | 25.2     |
| K90                        | 4.48 | 0.26 | 2.23   | 1.50 | 0.29 | 35 | 111 | 50  | 50 | 552 | 21.3              | 28.0     |
| K125                       | 4.53 | 0.28 | 2.45   | 2.17 | 0.29 | 32 | 145 | 51  | 57 | 617 | 21.4              | 28.3     |



**MULBERRY LEAVES** show positive effects of increasing  $K_2O$  rates. From left to right; 1 (no  $K_2O$ ), 2 (45 kg/ha  $K_2O$ ), 3 (90 kg/ha  $K_2O$ ), and 4 (135 kg/ha  $K_2O$ ).

lished with the various economic crops to establish the maximum economic rate for  $K_2O$ .

Fu Jianrong, Zhan Changgeng and Jiang Lina are with the Soil and Fertilizer Institute, Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China. Wu Zheng is Director, Soil and Fertilizer Station of Shengxian County, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China.



**MULBERRY PLANTS** shown at left did not receive K fertilization, while plants in photo at right were fertilized. In this research, rates up to 135 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha were compared. Stems were thicker and stronger with K.

### International Soil Fertility Manual Now Available

Since 1978, PPI has distributed over 75,000 copies of the Soil Fertility Manual. In recent years, there has been growing interest in a version of the manual adapted to international audiences and presented in metric units. Now, PPI is pleased to announce that the 114-page International Soil Fertility Manual is available.

To order a single copy, send \$30.00 in U.S.

funds (\$15.00 for PPI member companies) payable to "Potash & Phosphate Institute." U.S. and Canada orders add \$4.00 shipping, all other countries add \$7.00. If ordering multiple copies contact: Potash & Phosphate Institute, 655 Engineering Dr., Ste. 110, Norcross, GA 30092-2843; phone (770) 447-0335, ext. 213 or 214; fax (770) 448-0439.

# Nitrogen and Potassium Fertilization on Sugarcane

By J. Orlando Filho and A.A. Rodella

**B** razil more than doubled its sugarcane growing area, from 2 million to over 4 million hectares, in the last 20 years. Much of the expansion is on infertile, sandy soils on which sugarcane is being grown for the first time.

In Brazil, plant cane normally receives very low levels of N (20 to 30 kg N/ha). Nitrogen is applied with K (100 to 120 kg  $K_2O/ha$ ) in the furrow at planting.

This article reports on responses of plant

cane to rates and application timing of N and K on a soil containing 95 percent sand, 0.36 percent carbon (C) and less than 20 parts per million (ppm) K. The soil was limed with 3 t/ha of dolomitic limestone and all treatments received 180 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha in the furrow at planting. Urea and potassium chloride (KCl) were used as

sources of N and K, respectively.

The description of treatments and results of cane yields are shown in **Table 1**. Approximately 75 percent of Brazilian research trials involving N in plant cane have not shown significant cane yield

> responses. Some hypotheses have been suggested to explain the fact.

> Plant cane grown in eutrophic (fertile) soils or under minimum tillage has shown response to N application. Also, when soils are planted to sugarcane for the

first time, responses to N application are generally detected. This probably occurs due to the restricted supply of the nutrient through atmospheric N fixation in sugarcane roots, since low population of the bacteria *Acetobacter diazothrophicus* prevails under these soil conditions.

The percent pol in juice was not



**THIS TRANSVERSE** section of sugarcane midrib red spot shows red rot disease (at right) and potassium deficiency (at left).



**NITROGEN** deficiency on plant cane is shown at left. Normal plant cane is shown at right.



#### TABLE 1. Fertilizer treatments and cane yields.

|                              | Trea                                   | tments                                 |                              |                    |       |         |
|------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|--------------------|-------|---------|
| 1<br>N, kg/ha                | 2<br>N, kg/ha                          | 1<br>K <sub>2</sub> O, kg/ha           | 2<br>K <sub>2</sub> O, kg/ha | Cane,<br>tonnes/ha | Pol,% | Fiber,% |
|                              |  |  |                              |                    |       |         |
| 0                            | 0                                      | 0                                      | 0                            | 110                | 15.6  | 15.0    |
| 0                            | 0                                      | 90                                     | 0                            | 124                | 16.0  | 14.3    |
| 0                            | 0                                      | 180                                    | 0                            | 136                | 16.0  | 14.2    |
| 60                           | 0                                      | 0                                      | 0                            | 126                | 16.0  | 14.0    |
| 120                          | 0                                      | 0                                      | 0                            | 105                | 15.6  | 14.7    |
| 60                           | 0                                      | 90                                     | 0                            | 151                | 15.7  | 14.2    |
| 60                           | 0                                      | 180                                    | 0                            | 143                | 15.8  | 13.6    |
| 120                          | 0                                      | 90                                     | 0                            | 131                | 16.0  | 13.4    |
| 120                          | 0                                      | 180                                    | 0                            | 140                | 16.1  | 13.6    |
| 0                            | 0                                      | 45                                     | 45                           | 130                | 16.1  | 14.2    |
| 0                            | 0                                      | 90                                     | 90                           | 142                | 16.2  | 14.0    |
| 20                           | 40                                     | 0                                      | 0                            | 113                | 16.0  | 14.3    |
| 20                           | 100                                    | 0                                      | 0                            | 124                | 15.8  | 15.7    |
| 20                           | 40                                     | 45                                     | 45                           | 129                | 15.8  | 14.2    |
| 20                           | 40                                     | 90                                     | 90                           | 137                | 16.1  | 13.8    |
| 20                           | 100                                    | 45                                     | 45                           | 140                | 15.9  | 13.8    |
| 20                           | 100                                    | 90                                     | 90                           | 149                | 16.0  | 13.5    |
| 1 = Applicat<br>2 = Sidedres | tion in the bottor<br>ssed application | n of the furrow a<br>, four months aft | t planting.<br>er planting.  |                    |       |         |

affected by N or K application. However, K reduced percent fiber cane, which is a positive response. Cane yields were also improved by application of N and K. The best result in tonnes cane/ha was obtained with 60 kg N/ha and 90 kg K<sub>2</sub>O/ha applied at planting time. This fact is very important because in terms of maximum economic yields in commercial fields, it is possible to eliminate the need for sidedressed NK fertilization.

The authors are Professors at CCA-UFSCAR, Araras-SP, Brazil and ESALQ/USP, Piracicaba-SP, Brazil, respectively.

### PPI (INPOFOS) Ecuador Office Moves to New Location in Quito

The office of the Northern Latin America Program of PPI has a new location. Also known as INPOFOS (Instituto de la Potasa y el Fosforo), the program is directed by Dr. José Espinosa.

"Changing needs dictated this move. We are optimistic that the improved facilities of the new office location will help us maintain and even improve our effectiveness and productivity in agronomic research and education programs of this important region," said David W. Dibb, President of PPI.

The new address and phone numbers are: INPOFOS Gaspar de Villarroel 154 y Eloy Alfaro Casillo 17-17-980 Quito, Ecuador Phone: 593-246-3175 Fax: 593-246-4104

### POSITIVE POTASSIUM

A Banana a Day Keeps the Cardiologist Away

A recent article in *Cell*, a recognized scientific journal, featured research dealing with cardiac arrhythmia. The critical role of potassium in treating this heart condition was emphasized.

A prominent biochemist, in another article, stressed potassium's importance in human nutrition-called it the most neglected of all mineral elements. The best way to provide it is through foods high in potassium-such as bananas, potatoes, tomatoes or citrus fruits. And food can't contain adequate amounts of any needed element unless the medium in which plants grow supplies it.

Well, so what's this got to do with agriculture and the fertilizer industry? A lot, if we would just recognize it. It's a **positive** for an industry that spends too much of its energy countering negatives.

Food production and fertilizers have a great deal going for them. We should promote these–capitalize on their value–instead of apologizing for the words "chemicals" and "fertilizers".

We can devote our efforts to defending our products from the unfounded tirades of environmental radicals—or better to pointing out the great contributions and essentiality of agriculture and chemicals.

Do we ourselves realize how vital we are to global health and world freedom?

J. Fielding Read



Potash & Phosphate Institute Suite 110, 655 Engineering Drive Norcross, Georgia 30092-2843 SECOND-CLASS POSTAGE