Alfalfa Responses to Potassium on Low-Testing Soils

Н

By R.T. Koenig, J.V. Barnhill, and J.A. Gale

Δ

A lfalfa is an important forage and cash crop for producers in Utah and other western states. In the mid-1950s, Utah State University fertilizer guides declared no crop K deficiencies existed due to the high native levels of K in Utah soils

and high K concentrations in many irrigation waters. Alfalfa yields at that time averaged less than 2 tons/A. Today, many growers are achieving irrigated alfalfa vields in excess of 8 tons/A. Since 1960, the incidences of low K-testing soils and alfalfa K deficiency symptoms have increased. Also, K fertilizer recommendations have failed to maintain adequate soil test K levels in many areas, likely due to the high K demand of productive alfalfa.

The main objective of this research was to determine alfalfa yield and soil test responses to high rates of K and, ultimately, to develop better K management recommendations for low K-testing soils.

Field Studies

Experiments were conducted at one location in 1999 and three locations in 2000 (**Table 1**). At the Cache county location in 1999, K fertilizer (KCl) was applied at rates of 0, 200, 400, and 600 lb K_2O/A in early April to established alfalfa. An additional

treatment of 200 lb K_2O/A in April followed by 200 lb K_2O/A applied after the first and second cuttings (total of 600 lb K_2O/A) was also included.

At the Cache, Weber, and Sevier county locations in 2000, K was applied at rates of

0, 100, 200, 400, and 600 lb K_2O/A in early April to established stands. A split application treatment of 300 lb K_2O/A applied in early April followed by 300 lb K_2O/A applied after the first cutting was also included at each of these sites. Each experiment was a randomized complete block design with three to four replications. Yield and soil test K were measured at each location.

Results

Among sites and years, K responses ranged from 1.0 to 3.2 tons/A above the unfertilized treatments (**Figure 1**). Some yield depression was experienced at the 600 lb K₂O/A single application rate at the Cache

and Weber locations. The split application resulted in significantly higher yields at both locations than the single application (**Figure 1**). Considering the current price of K fertilizer (0.14/lb K₂O) and value of alfalfa hay (0.14/lb K₂O) and value of alfalfa hay (0.14/lb K₂O/A would be economical.

Apparently, the single application of

A potassium (K) study conducted over two years produced increased alfalfa vield responses of 1.0 to 3.2 tons/A at application rates as high as 600 lb K₂O/A. A single application of 600 lb K₂O/A reduced yield at two of three sites, while split applications of 600 K₂O/A did not reduce yield. Results show that alfalfa may respond to high rates of K fertilizer on low K-testing soils and that very high rates of K are necessary to increase available soil K to adequate levels on low K-testing soils. Rates of potassium chloride fertilizer (KCl, 0-0-60) exceeding 400 lb K₂0/A should be split-applied to prevent vield reductions.

U

KCl at 1,000 lb/A required to achieve the 600 lb K₂O/A rate caused a negative salt effect. Rates of KCl exceeding 400 lb K₂O/A should be split-applied to prevent yield reductions. Alternatively, applying high rates of a K fertilizer with a lower salt index



Incidences of K deficiency in alfalfa and low K-testing soils have increased in some areas. Alfalfa has a high requirement for K, which is removed in harvest.

such as potassium sulfate [K₂SO₄, 0-0-50-18 sulfur (S)] will likely prevent yield reductions.

The response to K was linear at the Sevier location, with little indication of a salt effect. The linear response to K at Sevier and the response to the split application at the Weber location indicate that the alfalfa at these two locations may have responded to additional K above the high 600 lb K_2O/A rate.

The application of 600 lb K_2O/A brought the soil test K levels up to an average of only 120 parts per million (ppm) at two of these sites, well below the critical level of 150 ppm used in current Utah State University fertilizer recommendations (**Figure 2**). Soil test K increased 1 ppm for

TABLE 1.	Selected soil properties for the surface 12-in. soil layer at research locations.		
	Cache county	Weber county	Sevier county
Texture	Silt	Silty clay	Clav
class	loam	loam	loam
% clay	25	28	29
% CCÉ ¹	37	0	54
pН	7.8	6.7	8.1
Soil test			
K², ppm	72	88	73
¹ Calcium carbonate equivalent ² Sodium bicarbonate extractable			

Weber and Sevier soils up to 150 ppm soil test K.

each 5 lb K₂O/A applied at the

Cache location

and 1 ppm for

each 12.5 lb

K₂O/A applied

at the Sevier

and Weber loca-

tions. At this

rate of change

and considering

the initial soil

test K values

(Table 1), 775

to 950 lb K₂O/A

would be need-

ed to bring the

Research in Utah at these and previously studied sites shows a clear relationship between soil test K and relative yield (**Figure 3**).

Optimum soil test K levels were at or near the 105 ppm currently used in alfalfa fertilizer recommendations.

Summary

Alfalfa hay removes large quantities of K. In areas with a long history of high yielding alfalfa production, soil test K can be depleted to the point where relatively high



Figure 1. Effect of K fertilization on alfalfa yield at the Cache, Sevier, and Weber county locations. (Circle symbols represent single application treat-

(Circle symbols represent single application treatments, square symbols represent split application treatment).

10



Figure 2. Effect of K fertilization on soil test K level at the Cache, Sevier, and Weber county locations.

rates of K are needed to rebuild soil tests.

Based on the results, the critical soil test K for alfalfa was not changed; however, K recommendations for very low and low soil test classes were increased by 50 to 100 lb K_2O/A . Increased emphasis is also being placed on annual soil testing for K and the importance of rebuilding and maintaining soil test levels in deficient areas. An





electronic copy of the Utah State University fertilizer guide for alfalfa (AG-FG-01) can be found on the Internet at: http://extension.usu.edu/coop/ag/pub/index.htm.

Dr. Koenig is Associate Professor and Extension Soil Specialist, Utah State University (e-mail: richk@ext.usu.edu). Mr. Barnhill and Mr. Gale are Utah State University Extension County Agents.

Annual Statement of Ownership

Statement of ownership, management, and circulation (required by 39 U.S.C. 3685) of Better Crops with Plant Food, published four times a year at 655 Engineering Drive, Suite 110, Norcross, GA 30092-2837. General business offices of the publisher are located at 655 Engineering Drive, Suite 110, Norcross, GA 30092-2837. Name and address of publisher is Potash & Phosphate Institute, 655 Engineering Drive, Suite 110, Norcross, GA 30092-2837. Name and address of editor is Donald L. Armstrong, 655 Engineering Drive, Suite 110, Norcross, GA 30092-2837. There is no managing editor. Owner is Potash & Phosphate Institute, 655 Engineering Drive, Suite 110, Norcross, GA 30092-2837. There are no known bondholders, mortgagees, or other security holders owning or holding 1 percent or more of total amount of bonds, mortgages, or other securities. Issue date for circulation data below: 2001, No. 4 (October 2001). The average number of copies of each issue during the preceding 12 months is: (a) Total number of copies (new press run): 17.075; (b) Paid and/or requested circulation: (1) Paid/requested outside-county mail subscriptions: 5,823; (2) Paid in-county subscriptions: 0; (3) Sales through dealers and carriers, street vendors, counter sales, and other non-USPS paid distribution: 6,342; (4) Other classes mailed through the USPS: 50 (c) Total paid and/or requested circulation: 12,215; (d) Free distribution by mail: (1) Outside-county: 281; (2) In-county: 0; (3) Other classes mailed through the USPS: 800; (e) Free distribution outside the mail: 350; (f) Total free distribution: 1,431; (g) Total distribution: 13,646; (h) Copies not distributed: 3,429; (i) Total: 17,075; Percent paid and/or requested circulation: 89.5%. The actual number of copies of single issue published nearest to filing date is: (a) Total number of copies printed: 17,075; (b) Paid and/or requested circulation: (1) paid/requested outside-county mail subscriptions: 5,828; (2) Paid in-county subscriptions: 0; (3) Sales through dealers and carriers, street vendors, counter sales, and other non-USPS paid distribution; 6,517; (4) Other classes mailed through the USPS: 50; (c) Total paid and/or requested circulation: 12,395; (d) Free distribution by mail: (1) Outside-County: 274; (2) In-County: 0; (3) Other classes mailed through the USPS: 803; (e) Free distribution outside the mail: 350; (f) Total free distribution: 1.427; (g) Total distribution: 13,822; (h) Copies not distributed: 3,253; (i) Total: 17,075; Percent paid and/or requested circulation: 89.7%. I certify that all information furnished above is true and complete. I understand that anyone who furnishes false or misleading information on this statement or who omits material or information requested on the statement may be subject to criminal sanctions (including fines and imprisonment) and/or civil sanctions (including multiple damages and civil penalties).

Donald L. Armstrong, Editor