Manganese Nutrition of Glyphosate-Resistant and Conventional Soybeans

By Barney Gordon

This study was conducted to determine if glyphosate-resistant (GR) soybeans respond differently to Mn fertilizer than conventional soybean varieties in an irrigated high-yield environment, and if so to develop fertilization strategies that will prevent or correct deficiencies. Yield of the GR variety was less than the conventional variety without Mn fertilizer. However, Mn application (banded at planting) to the GR variety closed the yield gap. The conventional soybean variety was not responsive to Mn fertilization. Conversely, yield was reduced at the highest rate of Mn. A second phase of the study showed that a combination of Mn applied as starter and foliar application provided maximum yield response.

lyphosate-resistant soybean variety planting dwarfs that of conventional varieties in the U.S. by a factor of about 9 to 1. Nevertheless, GR soybean yield may still lag behind that of conventional soybeans, as many farmers have noticed that yields are not as high as expected, even under optimal conditions. In Kansas, average yield seldom exceeds 60 to 65 bu/A even when soybeans are grown with adequate rainfall and/or supplemental irrigation water.

There is evidence to suggest that glyphosate may interfere with Mn metabolism and also adversely affect populations of soil micro-organisms responsible for reduction of Mn to a plant-available form. Manganese availablity is also strongly influenced by soil pH. As soil pH increases, plant-available Mn decreases. It is unlikely that Mn deficiencies will occur on acid soils. It stands to reason that the addition of supplemental Mn at the proper time may correct deficiencies and result in greater GR soybean yields.

In higher plants, photosynthesis in general and photosynthetic O_2 evolution in Photosystem II (Hill Reaction), in particular, are the processes most sensitive to Mn deficiency. Manganese deficiency-induced changes in O_2 evolution are correlated with changes in the ultrastructure of thylakoid membranes (internal chlorophyll containing membranes of the chloroplast where light absorption and the chemical reactions of photosynthesis take place). When Mn deficiency becomes severe, the chlorophyll content decreases and the ultrastructure of the thylakoids is drastically changed.

Manganese acts as a cofactor, activating about 35 different enzymes. Manganese activates several enzymes leading to the biosynthesis of aromatic amino acids such as tyrosine and secondary products such as lignin and flavonoids. Flavonoids in root extracts of legumes stimulate nod (nodulation) gene expression. Lower concentrations of lignin and flavonoids in Mn-deficient tissue is also responsible for a decrease in disease resistance of Mn-deficient plants. In nodulated legumes such as soybean which transport N in the form of allantoin and allantoate to the shoot, the degradation of these ureides in the leaves and in the seed coat is catalyzed by an enzyme that has an absolute requirement of Mn. Ureides account for the majority of N transported in the xylem sap to the aerial portions of soybean. Tissue Mn deficiency and drought stress can increase shoot ureide concentration. In research done in Arkansas, it was found that foliar Mn applications reduced soybean shoot ureide concentrations and prolonged N2 fixation. Information is needed to determine if field-grown GR soybean responds to applied Mn in a different manner than conventional soybean and, if so, what fertilization practices are best to correct the



Research in Kansas found that a GR soybean variety did not accumulate Mn in the same manner as a conventional variety in the high-yield environment of the study.

problem. Currently there is little information on Mn fertilization of soybean in Kansas.

The objective of this research was to determine if GR soybeans respond differently to applied Mn than conventional soybeans and, if so, to develop fertilization strategies that will prevent or correct deficiencies leading to improved yield for soybean producers.

Methods

Two separate sprinkler irrigated experiments were conducted on a Crete silt loam soil (fine, smectitic, mesic Pachic Argiustolls) with a pH of 7.0 at the North Central Kansas Experiment Field, located near Scandia, Kansas. Experiment I compared response of the GR soybean variety KS 4202 RR and its conventional near-isoline to granular Mn sulfate applied at planting in a band beside the row to give rates of 2.5, 5, and 7.5 lb Mn/A. A zero Mn check plot also was included. Soybeans were planted without tillage in early May in 2005 and 2006. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with a split-plot arrangement. Whole plots were herbicide resistant and conventional soybean varieties and split plots were Mn rates and sources.

Experiment II evaluated liquid chelated Mn applied to soybean as a starter at planting and as a foliar treatment at three growth stages (V4, V8, and R2). Manganese was applied to the GR soybean variety, KS 4202RR, to give a rate of 0.33

Abbreviations and notes for this article: Mn = manganese; N = nitrogen; $O_9 = oxygen$; ppm = parts per million.






Figure 1. Soybean yield response to applied Mn, 2005-2006.

| Table 1 . Foliar applied Mn effects on soybean yield, 2006. | |
|--|-------------|
| Stage of growth | Yield, bu/A |
| Starter (0.33 lb) | 66 |
| Starter (0.66 lb) | 70 |
| Starter (0.33 lb) + V4 (0.33 lb) | 74 |
| V4 (0.33 lb) | 66 |
| V4 + V8 (0.33 +0.33 lb) | 72 |
| V4+V8 +R2 (0.33+0.33+0.33 lb) | 74 |
| Untreated check | 66 |
| LSD (0.05) | 3 |

lb/A Mn at each application.

Results

In Experiment I, yield of the GR variety (KS 4202 RR) was 7 bu/A lower than its conventional nearisoline when no Mn was applied (**Figure 1**). The application of 2.5 lb Mn/A improved

yield of the GR variety equal to that of the conventional nearisoline. Yield of the conventional near-isoline was depressed at the high rate of Mn. Tissue Mn concentration (upper most expanded trifoliate at full bloom) in the herbicide resistant near-isoline was less than half of the conventional variety when no Mn was applied (**Figure 2**). However, Mn fertilizer application closed the gap in tissue Mn concentration between the GR and conventional varieties.




Figure 2. Soybean leaf tissue Mn concentration (uppermost expanded trifoliate at full bloom) 2005-2006.

In Experiment II, yield of the glyphosate—resistant soybean variety KS 4202 RR was maximized by a combination of Mn applied as a starter 2 in. to the side and 2 in. below the seed at planting, plus a foliar application at the same rate applied at the 4 leaf stage (**Table 1**). A starter alone application at either 0.33 or 0.66 lb Mn/A did not give results equaling the combination of starter and foliar treatment. Application of foliar-applied Mn at 0.33 lb Mn/A at the V4, V8, and R2 stages of growth gave yields equal to the starter plus one foliar application at the V4 stage. One or two foliar applications were not as effective as the starter plus foliar or the three foliar applications. Higher rates of starter-applied Mn and single foliar applications will be investigated next year in order to determine if timing is critical or if higher rates applied earlier in the growing season may be as effective as lower rates applied more frequently.

This research provides evidence that the GR soybean variety used in this study did not accumulate Mn in the same manner as the conventional variety, and did respond to application of Mn in this high-yield environment.

Dr. Gordon (e-mail: bgordon@oznet.ksu.edu) is with the Department of Agronomy, Kansas State University, Courtland, KS 66939.

InfoAg 2009 Set for July 14-16

nfoAg 2007 was a resounding success, with over 570 participants sharing their ideas, questions, and experiences in application of precision technology, data management, electronic communication, and remote sensing. Over 100 presentations, a 60-booth exhibit hall, a pre-conference tour, and ample networking opportunities made this one of the best conferences yet for promoting the adoption of modern technology in crop and soil management. More details about the conference, including PDF files of most of the presentations, are available at the website:

www.infoag.org. Dates for the next Infor-

mation Agriculture Conference have been set for July 14-16, 2009, again in Springfield, Illinois. III



Manganese Nutrition of Glyphosate-Resistant and Conventional Soybeans... Setting the Record Straight

By Dr. Barney Gordon, Kansas State University

The recent article (April 20, 2008) by Environment Editor Geoffrey Lean in the British newspaper *The Independent* (>link<) is, to put it bluntly, a gross misrepresentation of my research and a good example of irresponsible journalism. In his article Mr. Lean claims that my work, as reported in Issue No. 4, 2007, of *Better Crops with Plant Food* magazine (>link<), shows that "genetic modification actually cuts the productivity of crops", further stating that my work "has found that GM soya produces about 10 percent less food than its conventional equivalent." These statements, among other assertions in Mr. Lean's article, are ripe for clarification. This brief piece is my attempt to set the record straight before the perversion of my research findings and the resulting backlash go any further.



he experiment was a straight-forward soil fertility study designed to evaluate response to a particular nutrient (manganese) that may be limiting yields in a specific environment, with two related but not identical soybean varieties. No genetic or physiological components, beyond nutrient uptake, were examined. The objective, as stated in the *Better Crops* article, "was to determine if GR soybeans respond differently to applied Mn than conventional soybeans and, if so, to develop fertilization strategies that will prevent or correct deficiencies leading to improved yield for soybean producers."

My research investigated Mn response in soybeans under very high yielding conditions, a continuation of well recognized and published ongoing research in the nutrition of crops in high-yield environments. As stated in the *Better Crops* article, the two varieties used were near-isolines...they were not completely iso-genetic. This means that their genetic differences go beyond just the glyphosate-ready trait. Furthermore, only one pair of varieties was used in the experiment. Hence, it is unconscionable in scientific, and for that matter practical terms, to make blanket statements about genetically modified crops based on only this pair of varieties grown at this specific site.

As for the statement in *The Independent* that my work shows that "genetic modification actually cuts the productivity of crops", consider first and foremost that the experiment was not designed to address this question. For example, plots for both varieties were worked-over by hand to remove weeds, as we were interested in only



studying the effect of Mn on the experiment and not on the effect of weeds in the crop. Furthermore, the claim that GM soybeans produce 10% less yield than conventional is misleading, in that when the lowest rate of Mn was applied to GR soybeans there was no yield difference between the two. Also, the *Better Crops* article included 2 years of data because, at

the time of writing, compilation of the third year's data had not been completed. In the third year of the experiment, both the conventional and GR variety responded to the addition of Mn, probably due to much different growing conditions in year 3. Thus, environmental conditions during a particular growing season are an important factor in yield response. It is important here to note that this was a very high yielding environment where all other plant nutrients were adequate and irrigation was available, and that most soybeans worldwide are grown in more moderate yielding environments. So, simply put, it is inappropriate to use the results of my work to make these broad-brush claims.

The freedom to investigate meaningful questions, and then extend and publish the results is what enables us to continue to advance our crop production systems to meet accelerating demands, and at the same time maintain and even improve our environment. Unfortunately, at times research findings can be exploited to inappropriate ends, as was done by Mr. Lean in *The Independent*.

Contact Information:

Dr. W.B. (Barney) Gordon Kansas State University North Central Kansas Experiment Fields 1300 60 Road Courtland, KS 66939 USA Tel: 785-335-2836 Fax: 785-335-2239

E-mail: bgordon@oznet.ksu.edu

Better Crops with Plant Food Magazine International Plant Nutrition Institute (IPNI) 3500 Parkway Lane, Suite 550 Norcross, Georgia 30092 USA Tel: 770-447-0335 Fax: 770-448-0439

E-mail: darmstrong@ipni.net

Abbreviations and notes for this article: GM = genetically modified; Mn = manganese; GR = glyphosate-ready.

Reference # 08002/08059