

Where cotton was responsive to K fertilizer there was a distinct advantage to band application over broadcast, both for yield and ROI. The reason for the better performance of banding is not completely understood. It has been speculated that since the soils in this study are fine textured there could be some K fixation occurring wherein high charge clay minerals (e.g., vermiculite, highly charged smectite) “fix” broadcast K fertilizer to a greater extent than banded K fertilizer. Detailed mineralogical analysis of these soils is planned to determine whether fixation may be a factor.

Concluding Thoughts

This study illustrates the importance of ongoing efforts to continue to further our understanding of K nutrition and soil interactions. More specifically, the findings here support the need for efforts that explore the new frontiers in K science. In 2015, IPNI tasked an international group of accomplished scientists to identify critical concepts that were missing or were inadequately characterized in existing soil K assessments or K recommendations. In the summary paper produced from this group (IPNI, 2015) the authors state “*Practitioners have often not been able to explain why soil-test K varies across the landscape or over time in response to management practices. Additionally, definitive calibrations of K soil tests to crop responses*

have not been achievable in some areas”—a statement befitting the study reported here. Finally, the findings from this study have resulted in the formation of a larger and similar project that is being conducted across 12 cotton-producing states. **BC**

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to recognize the contribution of fellow researchers M.L. McFarland, D.A. Mott, D. Coker, and T. Provin as well as IPNI and Cotton Inc. for their support of this project.

Dr. Stewart is a Director of the IPNI North America Program located in San Antonio, Texas; E-mail: mstewart@ipni.net. Dr. Morgan is a Professor and State Extension Cotton Specialist, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas; E-mail: gdmorgan@tamu.edu.

References

- Hanselka, D., D. McCorkle, and D. Anderson. 2016. Agricultural Economics, Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service. Personal communication, May 2016.
- IPNI. 2015. [online]. Available at <https://www.ipni.net/article/IPNI-3396> (verified 2 June 2016).
- Texas A&M. 2016. [online]. Available at <http://soiltesting.tamu.edu/files/soilreecs/fiberK.pdf> (verified 9 June 2016).
- USDA-NASS. 2016. [online]. Available at <https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/#19B17682-558A-34ED-BF32-45C25321FFD7> (verified 16 May 2016).

Frontiers of Potassium Science – Now Accepting Short Abstracts for Papers

IPNI is pleased to invite you to participate in this international conference being held in Rome, Italy on January 25-27, 2017.

Organizers have designed the conference as a forum to exchange information on how to improve potassium plant nutrition and soil management to better the health of soils, plants, animals, and humans.

The 4R Nutrient Stewardship framework is integrated into the conference structure to keep the discussions anchored to the information needs of farmers and those who provide nutrient management guidance.

The conference is now inviting short abstracts for paper submissions. The short abstract submission deadline is September 1, 2016.

Submissions addressing the list of example questions below (more complete list available at <http://KFrontiers.org>) will be given priority and will be considered for inclusion in a special peer-reviewed publication following the conference.

Potassium in Sustainable Intensification of Cropping Systems

How do potassium inputs and outputs compare for different cropping systems and geopolitical boundaries?

4R Source: Improving decisions about the source of potassium to apply

How does the source of potassium fertilizer affect its



proper placement in the soil?

4R Rate: Improving the accuracy of potassium rate recommendations

Why and to what extent do various crops differ in their recovery efficiency of potassium?

4R Time: Improving decisions about when to apply potassium

What are the genetic effects on potassium accumulation rates, partitioning, and plant metabolism?

4R Place: Improving potassium placement decisions

What plant characteristics (rhizosphere biology and chemistry, root architecture, etc.) most influence potassium placement decisions?

Connecting Frontier Science to Frontier Practice

How do we increase the impact of scientific findings on soil and crop management of potassium in the field?

Please visit <http://KFrontiers.org> to obtain all details on submitting your short abstract and to sign up for updates about the conference.

We look forward to seeing you in Rome!