

# Effect of Foliar Potassium Fertilization and Source on Cantaloupe Yield and Quality

By John L. Jifon and Gene E. Lester

Potassium has a strong influence on crop quality parameters. Previously reported work from the Rio Grande Valley of Texas (*Better Crops*, No.1, 2007) demonstrated the impact of foliar K on cantaloupe (muskmelon) quality. The objectives of this multi-year field study were to further evaluate the impact of foliar K on cantaloupe yield and quality in calcareous soils testing high in K, and whether differences exist among K sources for foliar feeding. Foliar K treatments resulted in higher plant tissue K concentrations, higher soluble solids concentrations, total sugars, and bioactive compounds (ascorbic acid and  $\beta$ -carotene). Among the different K salts,  $KNO_3$  consistently resulted in non-significant effects on fruit quality compared to control treatments. Yields were significantly affected by late-season foliar K treatments in only one year.

Potassium is well recognized as the essential plant nutrient with the strongest influence on many quality parameters of fruits and vegetables (Usherwood, 1985). Although K is not a constituent of any functional molecules or plant structures, it is involved in numerous biochemical and physiological processes vital to plant growth, yield, and quality (Marschner, 1995). Adequate K nutrition has been associated with increased yields, fruit size, increased soluble solids and ascorbic acid concentrations, improved fruit color, increased shelf life, and shipping quality of many horticultural crops (Lester et al., 2005, 2006; Geraldson, 1985).

Uptake of K from the soil solution depends on plant factors, including genetics (Rengel et al., 2008). In many species, uptake occurs mainly during the vegetative stages when root growth is not inhibited by carbohydrate availability. Competition for photoassimilates between developing fruits and vegetative organs during reproductive growth stages can limit root growth/activity and K uptake. Increasing soil K fertilization may not be enough to alleviate this developmentally-induced deficiency partly because of reduced root growth/activity during reproductive development and also because of competition from other cations for binding sites on roots (Marschner, 1995). Previous greenhouse studies have shown that supplementing soil K supply with foliar K applications during the fruit development period can improve fruit quality and that differences may exist among K compounds for foliar feeding (Lester et al., 2005, 2006). The objectives of this multiyear field study were to determine whether mid-to-late season foliar K applications during the fruit development and maturation stages can ameliorate the developmentally-induced K deficiency thereby improving muskmelon fruit quality, and to determine whether differences exist among potential K salts for foliar feeding.

## Materials and Methods

This study was conducted during the Spring growing seasons (February-May) of 2005, 2006, and 2007 in fields near Weslaco, Texas (annual rainfall ~ 22 in.). Soils are predominantly calcareous and test high in K (>500 ppm). Soil type at the study fields is a Hidalgo sandy clay loam soil. In each study year, netted muskmelon (*Cucumis melo* L. var 'Cruiser')

**Abbreviations and notes:** K = potassium; ppm = parts per million; Ca = calcium;  $KNO_3$  = potassium nitrate; KCl = potassium chloride;  $K_2SO_4$  = potassium sulfate.

**Table 1.** Tissue K concentrations, fruit soluble solids concentrations (Brix), and sugars of field-grown muskmelons ('Cruiser') determined at fruit maturity following weekly foliar applications of K during the fruit development period using various salts.

Treatment (K salt)	Petiole K ----- mg/gdw -----	Fruit K	Fruit Brix %	Total Sugars mg/gfw
<b>2005</b>				
Control	32.5 c <sup>z</sup>	22.0 d	8.2 c	47.2 c
Potassium chloride	40.2 b	26.0 bc	10.5 ab	59.3 ab
Potassium nitrate	41.7 ab	24.3 cd	8.9 bc	50.5 bc
Potassium sulfate	42.2 ab	25.6 a	11.2 a	59.1 ab
Potassium metalosate	47.1 a	25.6 ab	10.1 ab	62.1 a
<b>2006</b>				
Control	48.2 d	26.2 b	9.0 c	53.2 d
Potassium chloride	55.0 bc	33.5 a	10.3 ab	61.4 bcd
Potassium nitrate	47.5 d	29.2 ab	9.1 bc	54.7 cd
Monopotassium phosphate	51.6 cd	33.9 a	10.3 ab	67.3 abc
Potassium sulfate	50.2 d	31.4 a	10.6 a	72.5 ab
Potassium thiosulfate	64.2 a	32.4 a	11.2 a	69.1 ab
Potassium metalosate	57.8 b	34.0 a	10.6 a	76.3 a
<b>2007</b>				
Control	55.1 b	21.9 c	8.0 c	36.7 a
Potassium chloride	63.3 ab	24.1 bc	9.8 ab	44.5 a
Potassium nitrate	55.3 b	22.9 bc	8.5 bc	39.9 a
Monopotassium phosphate	61.3 ab	24.6 bc	10.0 a	44.3 a
Potassium sulfate	59.7 ab	25.6 b	9.7 ab	45.0 a
Potassium thiosulfate	73.8 a	29.2 a	10.1 a	43.8 a
Potassium metalosate	66.5 ab	25.6 b	9.4 abc	44.7 a

<sup>z</sup> Means within a column and within a year followed by the same letter are not significantly different using the Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch multiple-range test.

was planted in early spring (February-March) following standard commercial practices for spring muskmelon production, including irrigation, nutrient management, and pest control. Plants were fertilized at the two-leaf stage with liquid N (50 kg N/ha; urea ammonium nitrate, 32% N) and P (20 kg P/ha) fertilizers and again at the vine elongation stage (50 kg N/ha, plus micronutrients). No additional soil K was added since pre-plant soil analyses indicated high K levels.

Foliar K treatments were applied weekly, starting at fruit set, and continuing until fruit maturation. The treatments

**Table 2.** Effects of weekly foliar K applications using various K salts on fruit mesocarp total ascorbic acid (TAA) concentrations, beta-carotene concentrations, internal color, and firmness of field-grown muskmelon ('Cruiser').

Treatment (K salt)	TAA mg/100gfw	Beta-carotene µg/gfw	Fruit color h°	Fruit firmness N
<b>2005</b>				
Control	30.3c <sup>z</sup>	14.2 b	72.8 a	12.7 b
Potassium chloride	33.2abc	18.8 a	71.8 ab	17.1 a
Potassium nitrate	31.6bc	16.7 ab	71.9 ab	14.5 ab
Potassium sulfate	35.5a	18.1 a	71.3 b	15.4 ab
Potassium Metalosate	34.5ab	18.0 a	71.2 b	16.2 a
<b>2006</b>				
Control	19.3 c	18.3 b	72.7 a	10.6 b
Potassium chloride	22.8 a	21.1 ab	72.2 ab	11.8 ab
Potassium nitrate	20.0 bc	18.0 b	72.4 ab	10.1 b
Monopotassium phosphate	21.4 abc	21.3 ab	72.0 ab	13.7 a
Potassium sulfate	22.1 ab	19.8 ab	72.1 ab	11.9 ab
Potassium thiosulfate	22.4 ab	21.1 ab	71.3 b	13.2 a
Potassium Metalosate	23.7 a	23.9 a	71.7ba	12.7 a
<b>2007</b>				
Control	15.7 a	10.3 b	73.0 a	8.5 b
Potassium chloride	16.7 a	11.1 ab	71.9 abc	10.3 ab
Potassium nitrate	16.9 a	10.8 ab	72.8 ab	8.7 b
Monopotassium phosphate	17.1 a	11.5 ab	71.6 c	11.0 a
Potassium sulfate	18.1 a	10.9 ab	72.2 abc	10.6 ab
Potassium thiosulfate	18.6 a	11.6 ab	72.3 abc	11.2 a
Potassium Metalosate	18.4 a	13.0 a	71.9 bc	11.3 a

<sup>z</sup> Means within a column and within a year followed by the same letter are not significantly different using the Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch multiple-range test.

were freeze-dried and used for dry matter, K, sugars, ascorbic acid, and beta-carotene analyses following the procedures of Lester et al. (2005, 2006).

## Results and Discussion

Foliar K applications significantly increased tissue (leaf, stem, petiole) K contents ( $P < 0.001$ ; **Table 1**) compared to the control treatment, suggesting that plant K uptake from this calcareous soil was not sufficient to satisfy plant K requirements and that the K supplying power of this soil may be low, even though soil test K was high. The impaired K supplying capacity of this soil may be attributable to high Ca and Mg concentrations since these conditions are known to suppress crop K uptake, presumably, through competitive and antagonistic uptake mechanisms (Marschner, 1995; Brady 1984).

Among the K salts evaluated,  $KNO_3$  tended to have only non-significant increases in tissue K. Foliar fertilization with  $KNO_3$  during the fruit development stages significantly increased leaf and petiole N concentrations, but reduced Mg concentrations in petioles and stems probably due to a dilution effect resulting from N stimulation of vegetative growth at the expense of roots and fruits. Fruit sugar contents (**Table 1**) and phytochemical compounds (ascorbic acid and beta-carotene; **Table 2**) responded positively to foliar K applications in two of the three study years. The relatively low sugar contents in 2007 were likely due to reduced leaf  $CO_2$  assimilation rates resulting from frequent cloudy weather conditions in that year. These weather conditions delayed canopy development and fruit set, leading to a reduction in the fruit development and maturation period. Although fruit quality enhancements were generally higher with organic K sources (potas-

were: control (no K, de-ionized water), KCl,  $KNO_3$ ,  $K_2SO_4$ , and a glycine amino acid-complexed K (Potassium Metalosate™, KM, 20% K; Albion Laboratories, Inc, Clearfield, Utah). In 2006 and 2007, two additional K sources were included: monopotassium phosphate (PeaK™, 24% K, Rotem BKG LLC, Ft Lee, New Jersey), and potassium thiosulfate (KTS™, 20% K, Tessengerlo Kerley Inc., Phoenix, Arizona). A non-ionic surfactant (Silwet L-77; Helena Chem. Co., Collierville, Tennessee) was added to all treatment solutions at 0.3% (v/v). Proprietary fertilizer K sources were formulated according to manufacturer recommendations. Treatment solutions, except the control, were formulated to supply the equivalent of 4 lb  $K_2O/A$  (3.7 kg K/ha) during each foliar application. All treatments were applied between 5 a.m. and 8 a.m. on each spray event.

Matured (full slip), marketable fruits from each plot were harvested, weighed, and classified by size as small ( $\leq 1$  kg), medium (1 to 2 kg), or large ( $\geq 2.0$  kg). To minimize variability in fruit quality parameters, fruits were further graded on the basis of maturity/harvest date and size before processing and analysis. For brevity, only data from fruits collected during early harvests ('crown-set' fruit which is set near the base of the plant) are included in this report. After firmness and soluble solids determinations, fruit middle-mesocarp tissue samples




**Dr. Jifon** checks cantaloupe plants in a study plot.

sium metalosate), differences among K salts were not always significant, except for KNO<sub>3</sub>, whose effects were nearly always statistically similar to those of control fruit.

Fruit firmness, a good indicator of shipping quality, texture, and shelf life of horticultural produce (Harker et al., 1997), was also increased by foliar K feeding (Table 2). This may be related to increased fruit tissue pressure potential (Lester et al., 2006) as well as enhanced phloem transport of Ca to fruits following K applications.

Fruit yields ranged from 16,000 to 25,000 lb/A and were generally higher in 2006 than in 2005 or 2007 (Table 3). Even though foliar K-treated plots had slightly higher yields in all 3 study years, significant yield increases were recorded only in 2007 and with one K salt. Significantly more non-marketable fruits (culls) were harvested from KNO<sub>3</sub>-treated plots than from plots treated with the other K-salts. Fruit yields from KNO<sub>3</sub>-treated plots were also slightly lower than those from plots treated with the other K-salts. A plausible mechanism for the yield increase in 2007, following foliar K treatments, is increased stress tolerance resulting from adequate K status. Ascorbic acid and beta-carotene (both of which were increased by foliar K applications) are antioxidants capable of protecting plants and humans from the damaging effects of oxidative stress during unfavorable environmental growth conditions such as those encountered during the 2007 season.

Salt crystallization and injury (leaf 'burn') symptoms were not observed with any of the treatments, in part, because all treatments were applied between 5 a.m. and 8 a.m. when high air relative humidity (>80%), low air temperatures (<25 °C) and low wind speeds (<1 mph) prevailed.

Several studies have shown that such effects are common when compounds such as KCl with high salt indices (approx. 120; Mortvedt, 2001) and relatively high point of deliquescence (POD, 86%; Schönherr and Luber, 2001) are used, and this is more pronounced when applied under conditions of high temperature and/or low humidity. These observations indicate that the experimental conditions (solution concentrations and timing) during foliar K applications in this study were adequate for minimizing residue formation and salt injury. The consistent lack of significant differences between controls and KNO<sub>3</sub>-treated plots indicates that this source of K may not be suitable for late-season foliar nutrition because of its N component. Although N is the mineral nutrient required in the greatest quantity by plants, and productivity is strongly correlated with N nutrition, excessive N availability is known to stimulate vegetative growth (shoots and leaves), and reduce fruit quality. Given that K is the nutrient most associated with quality, and that calcareous soils may have an impaired K supplying capacity, the current results call for a reassessment of nutrient management strategies to improve the quality of crops grown on such soils.  (IPNI Proj. TX-52F)

**Table 3.** Effects of weekly foliar K applications during the fruit development period using various K salts on yield and fruit numbers (by size class) of field-grown muskmelon ('Cruiser'). Sizes were: small ( $\leq 14$  cm diam. or  $\leq 1$  kg), medium (15 to 16 cm diam. or 1 to 2 kg), or large ( $\geq 17$  cm diam. or  $\geq 2.0$  kg).

Treatment (K salt)	Yield, lb/A	Small ----- x 1000 ha	Medium	Large	Culls lb/A
<b>2005</b>					
Control	17,096 a <sup>z</sup>	3.4 a	4.1 a	1.4 a	2,491 b
Potassium chloride	18,700 a	2.4 a	4.5 a	2.5 a	1,432 b
Potassium nitrate	16,793 a	3.3 a	4.0 a	1.9 a	6,063 a
Potassium sulfate	20,581 a	3.0 a	5.6 a	3.1 a	1,382 b
Potassium Metalosate	20,394 a	1.9 a	6.2 a	2.1 a	1,602 b
<b>2006</b>					
Control	21,641 a	3.2 a	4.6 a	1.7 a	1,780 b
Potassium chloride	22,968 a	2.7 a	5.2 a	2.9 a	1,194 b
Potassium nitrate	20,330 a	3.6 a	4.8 a	1.5 a	3,236 a
Monopotassium phosphate	21,903 a	2.5 a	6.5 a	2.5 a	1,529 b
Potassium sulfate	24,775 a	2.8 a	5.9 a	3.0 a	1,158 b
Potassium thiosulfate	25,635 a	2.8 a	7.0 a	2.6 a	1,691 b
Potassium Metalosate	23,655 a	2.6 a	6.1 a	2.9 a	1,594 b
<b>2007</b>					
Control	18,054 b	6.2 a	2.7 a	1.4 a	2,373 b
Potassium chloride	20,049 ab	5.5 a	4.0 a	2.0 a	1,593 b
Potassium nitrate	17,920 b	6.1 a	2.6 a	1.6 a	4,315 a
Monopotassium phosphate	20,989 ab	4.6 a	3.3 a	2.1 a	2,039 b
Potassium sulfate	20,475 ab	5.2 a	2.4 a	2.4 a	1,544 b
Potassium thiosulfate	22,719 a	5.4 a	2.5 a	1.9 a	2,255 b
Potassium Metalosate	20,668 ab	5.1 a	3.3 a	2.1 a	2,126 b

<sup>z</sup> Means within a column and within a year followed by the same letter are not significantly different using the Ryan-Einot-Gabriel-Welsch multiple-range test.

*Dr. Jifon is with Texas A&M University System, Texas Agri-Life Research Center, Weslaco, Texas; e-mail: jljifon@ag.tamu.edu. Dr. Lester is Research Plant Pathologist with USDA-ARS. He is now located at Beltsville, Maryland, and formerly at Kika de la Garza Subtropical Research Center at Weslaco, Texas.*

## References

- Brady, N.C. 1984. The nature and properties of soils. Macmillan, New York.
- Geraldson, C.M. 1985. Potassium nutrition of vegetable crops. In Potassium in Agriculture (Ed. R.D. Munson). ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, WI. pp. 915-927.
- Harker, F.R., R.J. Redgwell, I.C. Hallett, S.H. Murray, G. Carter. 1997. Texture of fresh fruit. HORT. REV. 20: 121-224.
- Lester, G.E., J.L. Jifon, and D.J. Makus. 2006. HortSci. 41(3):741-744.
- Lester, G.E., J.L. Jifon, and G. Rogers. 2005. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 130:649-653.
- Marschner, H. 1995. Functions of mineral nutrients: macronutrients, p. 299-312. In H. Marschner (ed.). Mineral nutrition of higher plants 2nd Edition. Academic Press, N.Y.
- Mortvedt, J.J. 2001. Calculating Salt Index. Fluid J 9:8-11.
- Rengel, Z., P.M. Damon, and I. Cakmak. 2008. Physiologia Plantarum 133(4):624-636.
- Schönherr, J. and M. Luber. 2001. Plant and Soil 236:117-122.
- Usherwood, N.R. 1985. The role of potassium in crop quality. In Potassium in Agriculture (Ed. R.D. Munson). ASA-CSSA-SSSA, Madison, WI. pp. 489-513.